1	LOUISIANA STATE BOARD OF PRIVATE SECURITY EXAMINERS
2	QUARTERLY BOARD MEETINGS
3	AND
4	ADJUDICATORY HEARINGS
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	Louisiana State Board of Private Security Examiners
10	15703 Old Hammond Highway
11	Baton Rouge, Louisiana
12	
13	
14	
15	February 21, 2013
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Reported By
21	ANNETTE ROSS, CCR, RPR
22	
23	
24	
2.5	

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	BOARD MEMBERS:
4	Mr. Louis S. Gurvich, Jr., Chairman, District 1
5	Thomas L. Baer, District 3
6	Donald O. Cotton, District 5
7	Charles V. Duplechain, District 4
8	Kenneth R. Kennedy, Member at Large
9	George Rojas, Member at Large
10	James H. "Chip" Romero, Member at Large
11	Christine Vinson, Member at Large
12	COUNSEL:
13	Allison McLeary, Esq.
14	BOARD STAFF:
15	Mr. Wayne R. Rogillio
16	Ms. Jane Ryland
17	Mr. Dickie Thornton
18	Reported by: ANNETTE ROSS, CCR NO. 93001,
19	Certified Court Reporter, in
20	and for the State of Louisiana
21	(Annette Ross officiated in administering the oath
22	to the witnesses.)
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX
2	Page
3	NEW BUSINESS
4	Discussion of I-9s
5	Discussion of SAFE Management 28
6	Discussion of Military Training
7	LEGAL UPDATE/LEGISLATION
8	OLD BUSINESS
9	Office Status and Financial Reports
10	NEW BUSINESS
11	Purchase of Vehicle, Scanners
12	Amend the 2012-2013 Budget
13	Discussion of Attorney General Contract
14	ADJUDICATORY HEARINGS, RONALD MACOMBER 57
15	Examination of Ms. Martin by Ms. McLeary 61
16	Cross-examination of Ms. Martin by Mr. Macomber .66
17	Examination of Mr. Paul by Ms. McLeary 72
18	Cross-examination of Mr. Paul by Mr. Macomber77
19	Direct Examination of Ms. Ruffin by Ms. McLeary .79
20	Cross-examination of Ms. Ruffin by Mr. Macomber 82
21	Examination of Ms. Ruffin by Mr. Gurvich 83
22	Examination of Ms. Ruffin by Mr. Baer
23	Examination of Mr. Macomber by Mr. Baer
24	Examination of Mr. Macomber by Ms. Vinson96
25	Examination of Mr. Macomber by Mr. Kennedy 98

1	INDEX (CONT'D)
2	Page
3	NEW BUSINESS
4	Discussion of USDOJ Audit
5	Discussion of FESS Classroom Training 112
6	DETERMINATION OF DATE OF NEXT MEETING
7	QUESTIONS/COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC
8	* * * *
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 1 2 MR. GURVICH: Welcome, everyone, to the 3 quarterly meeting of the Louisiana State Board of Private Security Examiners. I'd ask Chief Rogillio, if you will 4 be kind enough, to lead us in The Pledge, followed by a 5 brief moment of silence. 6 (Pledge of Allegiance and moment of silence.) 7 Thank you. May we please have a 8 MR. GURVICH: 9 roll call. (Roll call.) 10 MR. GURVICH: All right. There being a quorum 11 present, we'll proceed. Chief, first of all, do we hear 12 a motion to waive the reading of the minutes of the 13 previous meeting? 14 15 So moved. MR. ROMERO: MR. DUPLECHAIN: Second. 16 17 MR. GURVICH: Motion by Mr. Romero, second by Mr. Duplechain. Debate? Discussion? All in favor of 18 the motion as stated say aye. 19 2.0 ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. MR. GURVICH: The motion passed unanimously. 2.1 2.2. Next matter. 2.3 NEW BUSINESS, DISCUSSION OF I-9s MR. ROGILLIO: I would like to move the 24 adjudicatory hearings if you don't mind, amend the 25

1	agenda, down to the bottom of the list so that we can ge
2	on with some people who are here that need to leave. On
3	of them, Mr. Gurvich invited, a Mr. Hope from Homeland
4	Security, to come and speak, so you need to amend the
5	agenda, if you will.
6	MR. GURVICH: I will make a motion to amend the
7	agenda
8	MR. ROMERO: Second.
9	MR. GURVICH: which, I believe, requires a
10	two-thirds vote. Motion by Mr. Gurvich, second by
11	Mr. Romero. Debate? Discussion? All in favor of the
12	motion as stated say aye.
13	ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
14	MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? The motion passes
15	unanimously. Would you like to get Mr. Hope up?
16	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. Mr. Hope, please take
17	your position in the witness chair, please.
18	MR. HOPE: May I sit here?
19	MR. GURVICH: Make yourself comfortable.
20	MR. HOPE: Okay. Thank you. I was invited here
21	to speak about the importance of I-9s and actually
22	completing the I-9s as it pertains especially to
23	sensitive areas such as security.
24	MR. GURVICH: Everyone here knows what the I-9
25	is?

MR. HOPE: We'll talk a little bit about it.

I'll show it to you, just to make sure that we understand.

2.1

2.2.

2.3

First of all, I'm with the Department of Homeland Security, Homeland Security Investigations. We are responsible for everything customs and immigration related, which is — one of the programs is Work Site Enforcement. It falls under three categories. It involves the enforcement of illegal workers, I—9 compliance, and outreach, basically what I'm doing here today.

We have a huge shift in how we focus our attention. We used to do large, what we considered, raids in places. Now we shift it to I-9 compliance, basically audits of the I-9s. It's a huge issue, and we are currently going through another major I-9 initiative starting, I believe, sometime next month.

Where it particularly pertains to the security industry, not just — it's important because it's federal law. 1986, decided that this I-9 form, which obviously comes in various forms, and it is again going to change. It's coming through with a new one that's going to change.

1986 Immigration Reform and Control Act created the need to identify people who are eligible to work in The

United States. There's only four groups of people who are eligible: citizens, nationals, lawful permanent residents, and aliens who are authorized to work.

2.1

2.2

2.3

Completing the form is mandatory. It's not in any way a suggestion. It's not just part of the packet. It's mandatory under federal law that it is completed in its entirety, each portion of it. It might also be of particular interest to the security industry.

Now let's take 9/11 for example. After 9/11, we all know terrorists came in. Most of them came in on student visas. So what ends up happening, government focuses on student visas after 9/11. We started to clamp down on them.

The security industry has access to pretty much everything. Anywhere you can put security, which mostly is critical infrastructure or normal places, security has access to. So one of the tools that we're hoping helps is, besides all the other things, probably background checks, is actually completing the I-9 form and obtaining the correct identification that goes with it.

Again, none of it is optional. You have to do it as it is written. The government really never offered much advice in the whole how to complete these I-9s ever until just recently. It was in 2007, we started a new program, which I will tell you a little bit about at the end.

Just so you realize, the I-9 must be completed the day someone starts hire, the Section 1, which is filled out by the employee. Section 2, by the employer, must be filled out within three days after that. But it is the company's overall responsibility for everything that falls on this form.

2.1

2.2.

2.3

How do we check that? Through our I-9 audits. We do come out, and we audit. We are doing a lot of audits. And what do audits usually entail is fines. We come out and we fine people.

We pay particular attention to those industries that are critical infrastructure type industries, which, basically, security industry does fall under.

The I-9 should only be completed by your employees who have been trained to complete this I-9. I'm talking about when they go to do the verification for Section 2. They should have training. It can be internal training. It can be external training. It can be however they are trained. But it shouldn't be done, completed, by anyone who hasn't been trained.

And it should also be reviewed at least by one other person. We commonly see the same mistakes made on these forms, and the same mistakes repeated over and over and over can cost money.

Fines start at \$110 per violation, and they quickly

go up to \$1100. That's just civil fines. People who are trying to egregiously violate the law, usually, we go after them criminal, so that is a criminal matter also. So this little piece of paper can lead from civil fines all the way up to criminal violations, so it must be completed correctly.

2.0

2.1

2.2.

2.3

Like I said before, we created a program in 2007. It's a free program that offers businesses a chance to partner with the government one on one. It's free. It's nonintrusive. All we do is work on employment compliance with the companies. It's called our Image Program. I'll leave the little brochures for you.

The program is one on one. We provide training in the completion of the I-9, how to do it all. We provide fraudulent document training, antidiscrimination training on the employment compliance side of it. In return, we ask the company enroll in E-verify.

If you don't know what E-verify, it's a free system. It's the best system we have. It's not 100 percent foolproof. It's the best one we have. It takes the information from this I-9 and puts it in the computer and tells you who is work eligible. That's the cornerstone of our program.

We ask companies to enroll in E-verify and have a written policy. We also undergo a I-9 audit, a voluntary

I-9 audit with us. But the good news is, we provide all the training up front on the I-9s before you even turn them over to us.

2.1

2.2

2.3

We guarantee that any company that works with us, we don't fine them for up to 50 percent violations, which we haven't seen anyone have more than 50 percent who is in our program. And then it's up to us after that if we are going to issue a fine, which, to this date, we haven't done.

The program promotes the 12 best hiring practices. Please take one of these back with you. If you implement one or all of these, it will sure — it will make your company stronger in employment compliance, any one of these issues.

We're talking — I'm not going to read them out to you, but it has E-verify on here. It talks about having an internal training program. It also has established a tip mechanism within your company to report people who shouldn't be working at the company.

It's essentially very important, especially with large companies who don't always see their employees. The employees know what's going on, so sometimes that's helpful.

Anybody who becomes a member or wants to join, please take it back with you. But you can promote the

fact that you are a part of the Image Program, that you have gone through all the scrutiny of an audit through the government. And it provides you with a stable work force.

2.1

2.2.

2.3

We also offer an endorsee, such as boards or associations who want to be endorsee members of it. All we ask the people who join with that is to endorse the program, endorse the 12 best hiring practices, and give us an opportunity to provide training to the members.

In return, we provide training to the members. Just as we would on an individual level, we provide to a large group.

And we also provide technical assistance. People who have trouble with certain elements of completing any of the process, they can just call us and we help them. We guarantee to help those people more than what you can get from the government under any other kind of circumstances.

I don't want to take up too much more of your time, but I will leave these brochures up here with my cards as well.

We have two important documents that you can take back. I don't have them with me, but you can get them online. One is the Handbook For Employers. It's the entire guide of how to complete the I-9 from start to

finish the correct way. If you have a HR group, make 2 sure they have this M-274 Handbook For Employers. Without that, they might not be able to complete it. 3 When you put M-274 in Google search, it brings you 4 right to this handbook. Also select documents -- Guide 5 to Selected U.S. Documents, U.S. Travel and Identity 6 7 Documents Book contains most of the U.S. government documents, including the new green card that's issued. 8 9 It does not contain the new employment work authorization document. It's just a red version of the new green 10 11 card. And that's pretty much all I have. It's very 12 important. Not just the law when we are talking about 13 this group here, it's the overall security that we're 14 15 trying to provide out there. It's more than just the law 16 for this group to make sure that we are in compliance. 17 Do we have any questions? 18 MR. GURVICH: Any questions for Mr. Hope? MR. ROMERO: Mr. Chairman. 19 2.0 MR. GURVICH: Mr. Romero. MR. ROMERO: Mr. Hope, there's been a number of 2.1 questions that have been posed to me in the past, and it 2.2. hasn't been exactly clear. What is the proper placement 2.3 24 of the I-9 form within the employee box?

MR. HOPE: Okay. It should be kept separate,

and the reason why I say that, because anything that is attached to the — anything that is with the I-9 form essentially becomes part of the I-9 form.

2.0

2.2.

2.3

So if we were to do an audit, which we wouldn't know because we can't see into your files that they're actually with them, but if we were to do a search warrant requesting just I-9 files, anything that's touching that — you can keep it with it. It's fine. But I'm just saying, anything that touches that I-9 essentially becomes part of the I-9. So you can keep it with it. But we recommend keeping it separate from those, because when we request it, it makes it easier for the company to pull it out and there is nothing else with it.

Now, you do have to keep supporting documents that you make copies of with it. It must be with the I-9 form. But other than that, it's best that it's kept separate.

MR. GURVICH: What about, I think there was some direction where it was suggested that we keep a portfolio of I-9s on all employees. So maybe, the I-9, there is a copy in the employee file, but you have a portfolio that, if you wanted — and they are going to be coming around and doing audits of security companies. And you would hand — we would hand you the portfolio with I-9s in it and make your audit that much quicker and everything.

And our personnel department would be able to handle that, that much quicker. Is that the direction that you 2 3 wanted to go with? MR. HOPE: We are going to request the original 4 5 I-9 form every time. So wherever that original is, that's where we're going to want it from. And if it's 6 kept separate, it's easier for the company. The company 7 has three days, by law, three days to reply to any notice 8 9 of inspection. It can't be altered, except with a search warrant, so you have three days to actually -- so you can 10 11 keep them wherever you want. In other words, we would have to 12 MR. GURVICH: present you basically all the employee files if it's just 13 in the employee file, and then there is this big 14 rigamarole where you have a bunch of files, or we could 15 give you a single file with the original I-9s in it and 16 17 you would be happy with that? 18 MR. HOPE: Yes. That's all we want. That's what we want. We don't want the rest of the stuff. 19 MR. ROJAS: Mr. Chairman. 2.0 MR. GURVICH: Mr. Rojas. 21 MR. ROJAS: The I-9, the original, comes to the 2.2 2.3 state board. 24 MR. GURVICH: No? 25 MS. RYLAND: Only a copy.

1

Just a copy of it? MR. ROJAS:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2. 2.3

24

25

Just FYI, what we do, the state MR. GURVICH: board here does -- we mandated, I think, ten years ago, sometime after 9/11, that we were going to send in an I-9. But it was always understood that, while the state board will require that, we require a copy. And you are requiring the original.

We are requiring, the company must MR. HOPE: maintain the original in some form or fashion where they can retrieve it within three days. Yes. So as long as they have access to it, you don't want to have the original, because then it becomes your responsibility to get it back to them, so copies is fine.

MR. GURVICH: Right. We don't want the originals.

> MR. HOPE: Right.

MR. GURVICH: And now, just in order to get everybody's attention, what happens to people who violate or have an incomplete or missing I-9? What can happen? This will get your attention.

MR. HOPE: It's not a very easy process to Okay? What we do, we first start -- let me start at the beginning. We serve a notice of inspection. show up out of the blue. Every time we come to your office, it's always out of the blue. We don't ever make

appointments.

2.1

2.2.

2.3

We show up and hand you the notice, request. Within three days, you produce those documents. We get those documents from you, and you don't hear from us again until we're ready for the next step. So the whole time everyone involved is in limbo, because, you know, really, we don't keep people updated on how it's going. But what happens if you're missing them is, it starts getting fined. Every one is a fine. It's a finable offense.

MR. GURVICH: What's the range of the fines?

MR. HOPE: It starts at \$110 per violation up to 1100.

MR. GURVICH: Per violation?

MR. HOPE: Per violation, yes, up to the top level. That's based on the number of errors you — it's a complicated math formula. It's based on the number of errors that you have and the number of employees that you have. And, I-9s, you should have on hand. So it basically falls into a bracket.

Most fines that I see — well, when I was in Tennessee — I was in Tennessee before I came back to Louisiana — those fines ranged no less than 50,000. That's all they messed with in Tennessee.

Now, in Louisiana, they are more — they will do anything over 5,000, but I don't see them too often.

They're usually at least 25, and they range up to a couple of hundred thousand, depending on the employees, number of employees in the company. So the more employees you have, the higher your fine could be.

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

MR. DUPLECHAIN: The audit would be only on present employees?

MR. HOPE: No, no. By law, you are required to maintain files for at least three years or one year after termination, whichever is longest. So we can technically go back on a few. We have been doing so many audits as of late, this is not a clue as to not take care of your old ones, but we have only been requiring current licensed.

MR. DUPLECHAIN: Yes, because, I mean, this is the first I hear of that.

MR. HOPE: Yes. There is a retention period for your I-9s. In all practical sense, if you have employees that have been — who are no longer employed and they are outside of that time frame of retention, don't maintain those I-9 forms, because if you do maintain them, you are responsible for if they are correct.

If an employee has been gone for ten years and you still have that I-9 from ten years ago and it's wrong, you are still responsible for that I-9, even though you could have gotten rid of it. So it is very important to

understand.

2.1

2.2

2.3

And that's just the civil aspects of it. If you're egregiously trying to violate any part of the I-9, we can go after you criminally.

MR. BAER: Where can you get the most current I-9? This is expired, correct, the sheet?

MR. HOPE: This one is expired. The reason why it's expired is because the new one has not come out yet. That's the other problem. This is the second time this has happened. I can't understand why this has happened twice. It should only happen the one time.

The I-9 is currently expired because they're coming out with a new one that's two pages long. It's the same form, same amount of information. They just spaced it out differently. It's two pages long. You can get this version right now just by going to uscis.gov or putting "I-9 form" in the Google search. It actually pops up, first thing that pops up.

Now, one other thing to remember is, please never tell your employees which documents to provide. Make sure your HR staff knows. Do not tell them to bring specific documents to complete this I-9 form. They are given the option to bring in whatever forms they want from the list that's provided. You cannot direct them in any way. That is a document abuse or discrimination type

1	offense which falls into a total different ball game in a
2	total different organization.
3	So make sure again, this book is very important.
4	Make sure your HR group has this. Whoever touches these
5	I-9s has reviewed this book.
6	MR. GURVICH: Chief, a question I have: What
7	can we do to liaise, especially let's assume you have
8	somebody who may not isn't in this room, not familiar,
9	but has applied for a company license. Is it possible
10	that we could include the Homeland Security materials
11	with the application?
12	MR. ROGILLIO: I don't know that that's required
13	of us.
14	MR. GURVICH: Well, it's not required.
15	MR. ROGILLIO: No.
16	MR. GURVICH: But it might save somebody a lot
17	of grief.
18	MR. ROGILLIO: Well, it's possible.
19	MR. GURVICH: It's not required.
20	MR. ROGILLIO: But they can go online and get
21	it.
22	MR. GURVICH: If they know.
23	MR. ROGILLIO: If they know that, yeah.
24	MR. GURVICH: And if they don't. I'm just
25	trying to get, achieve compliance and do what we can to

help the industry and to make sure these folks are happy. I don't think there are very many -- you know, with all 2 the other checks going on, there's quite a lot of checks 3 that we mandate --4 5 MR. HOPE: Right. MR. GURVICH: -- I don't think any illegal alien 6 could get on board with us, at least not for very long. 7 8 MR. HOPE: Right. 9 MR. GURVICH: But by the same token, it's a Homeland Security terrorist. I mean, I have always felt 10 that, if you were going to be a terrorist, about the 11 easiest way to get into a critical infrastructure place 12 is to disquise yourself or actually be a security 13 officer. 14 15 So I think we should take this seriously, and if there's anything we can do to liaise with them and make 16 this a safer environment, you know, with all these 17 petrochemical shipping things up and down the river here, 18 that we ought to try to do it. That's me, personally. 19 2.0 MR. ROGILLIO: If we can get a brochure from you that we can copy? 2.1 2.2. They will be right here. Yes. MR. HOPE: I can send you the electronic version just as well. 2.3 24 MR. ROGILLIO: And put that in a company packet.

MR. HOPE: And what happened?

MR. DUPLECHAIN: I think this is a meeting that 1 2 we should have had since 1986. 3 MR. GURVICH: We tried. We couldn't get the --I'll tell you that, and I'm not telling tales out of 4 5 school, but we tried to get the old immigration service, I quess, right after 9/11 to come to do this 6 presentation. We couldn't get them on the phone. 7 Don't forget too, if y'all consider 8 MR. HOPE: 9 the Endorsee Program, we provide any training you want to all your members any time you want. 10 11 MR. GURVICH: That was my next question. MR. HOPE: Any time you want training, fraud, 12 fraudulent document training, the I-9 compliance, so. 13 MR. GURVICH: Can you all come out? And let's 14 say you have a personnel department that's just realizing 15 the importance of this thing. Can you guys come out and 16 17 give them a quick instructional and an overview? 18 MR. HOPE: I'm sure we could. I'm sure we could 19 discuss that, yes. MR. DUPLECHAIN: It would be better if we could 2.0 have it like a day of a hearing. 2.1 MS. RYLAND: Well, I do a clerical class every 2.2 quarter, the last Wednesday of every quarter. 2.3 If they 24 can be a part of that and have -- I mean, I'm the only

one that does it, but, I mean, they can come in and be a

part of that. We discuss the I-9s. We go over what I know about it, what I have been told by them.

MR. HOPE: We're more than happy to do that.

MS. RYLAND: But we could bring you in as a segment of that clerical training class.

MR. HOPE: Sure.

2.1

2.2

2.3

MR. GURVICH: Well, I don't mean to suggest that we will iron out all of these operational difficulties right here right now. But that's probably something. If we initiate a liaison between the regulatory agency that's responsible for 9,000 security officers and Homeland Security, I think nothing but good can come from it.

And Mr. Hope can perhaps cue you in to the people you need to be talking to and vice versa. Maybe a newsletter, I think, would probably — this would — this subject alone would be worthy of a newsletter. But I think it has to be an ongoing process educationally with the new guys with new licenses.

And, to be honest with you, I think we probably need to retrain — you know, you could have been doing this in human resources a long time in the contract security industry. And you don't realize the gravity of this.

MR. HOPE: We do have a free training forum coming up in New Orleans on May 23rd that covers each

subject: I-9s, antidiscrimination, fraudulent 2 documents. Those are going to be put on -- it's put on by our agency, but the representatives from immigration 3 and customs -- I mean, citizenship and immigration 4 5 services will be there. An attorney from the Department of Justice, Office of Special Counsel, is going to be 6 7 there doing the antidiscrimination. This is not just a forum to explain. It's also an 8 9 opportunity for people who want to ask questions from the agencies that actually regulate that. So it's May 23rd. 10 I can send information out. 11 MS. RYLAND: My next class is March 27th, so 12 maybe we can line up something and --13 MR. GURVICH: Do we do e-mail blasts around 14 15 here? 16 MS. RYLAND: No, because the e-mails always 17 change, and there's no use in trying to do that. 18 better to just do a memo on the website and send out a 19 company memo. MS. VINSON: Or do we have a link on the 20 website? Like, I can't think of all the buttons right 2.1 But why not, we could add their website as like a 2.2. 2.3 hyperlink on our site. MS. RYLAND: We don't have that, but I think we 24

could --

Yes. We have a couple of -- because MR. HOPE: 1 2 there is a whole section of just the I-9s that's new that's really very helpful to the employers. 3 MS. RYLAND: I can see. We'll get together on 4 t.hat. 5 MR. HOPE: Yes. 6 MS. VINSON: If we just add it under an 7 informational page, and people can click on that and go 8 9 there themselves. MR. GURVICH: And I don't know that this 10 requires a motion of any kind, but I'm sure the entire 11 board, I get the sense that the board heartily endorses 12 this ongoing liaison. You guys get together and do 13 whatever you can so that -- and you all are initiating an 14 15 audit program with security companies anyway; is that not 16 correct? 17 MR. HOPE: Oh, everyone. 18 MR. GURVICH: Well, everyone, right. 19 security companies are going to be a big part of that. And just I'd hate to see one of our guys get tagged for a 20 big fine. And I think this is just the beginning of a 2.1 process, I hope, and you guys -- everybody --2.2 2.3 MS. RYLAND: Well, I have to say on behalf of 24 the staff, we have a whole lot of stuff to do. And I

don't mind helping and to having these classes and stuff,

but I don't think we should be held responsible that we 2 make sure the security industry knows how to do the I-9. That's not what I'm suggesting. 3 MR. GURVICH: Just put the insofar as we can. Maybe it's a hyperlink, 4 which I think is an excellent idea. 5 MS. RYLAND: And if they will agree to do these 6 once a quarter or twice a year or something, that will 7 work too. So we will just be the liaison between them. 8 9 MR. GURVICH: Just keep us posted generally from time to time on how that's going. I think that there are 10 11 some things that have no cost, very little time involved. And it may be. And I'm not a techy as you 12 have probably gathered by now. Ms. Vinson has probably 13 far more expertise in this than I do, but I think a 14 15 hyperlink would be a great idea. Maybe a brief blurb on the website or something like that. You know, that's all 16 there is. But any more questions for Mr. Hope? I think, 17 18 somebody. MR. BAER: Any idea when that new form will be 19 out? 20 MR. HOPE: No. They said 2013. It has to go 21

attorneys in D.C. That's where it is right now. Then it

has to get federally registered. It's got to go through

through all the approvals all the way up through the

all that process. I think that's where it is, being

2.2.

2.3

24

1	registered, before it can be pushed out. It's coming
2	soon, real soon.
3	MS. RYLAND: We can notify the industry. If you
4	notify us and let us know, then we can notify the
5	industry of that.
6	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: When the new form is out,
7	are we required to get all of our current employees to
8	fill out the new form?
9	MR. HOPE: No. Employees only fill out the form
10	one time, except for reverification when the document
11	expires that requires the reverification. You never have
12	to fill out another form on that employee.
13	MR. GURVICH: Any other questions?
14	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The training he talked
15	about on May 23rd, he said, in New Orleans, where is that
16	going to be at?
17	MR. HOPE: It's going to be at one of the
18	hotels. It hasn't been decided, because they're waiting
19	to see how many people are coming.
20	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can you sign up for it?
21	MR. HOPE: Yes. it's ice.gov/image. The form
22	is listed on there right on the right-hand side. It's
23	ice.gov/image. And it's on May 23rd. It's free, just
24	one day.
25	MR. GURVICH: Further questions? I think this

MR. GURVICH: Further questions? I think this

1	has been extremely informative, and I hope it's an
2	ongoing liaison. Thank you very much for being here.
3	MR. HOPE: Thank you for your time. Thank you.
4	MR. ROGILLIO: Thank you, Mr. Hope.
5	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Did we have any other
6	some folks had gathered for a not an official hearing,
7	but I know Mr. Kevorkian, Mr. Pierre.
8	MR. ROGILLIO: If you would like to take those
9	matters
10	MR. GURVICH: They are waiting in the audience,
11	and I hate to see people waiting in the audience if it's
12	something we can clear out pretty quickly.
13	NEW BUSINESS, DISCUSSION OF SAFE MANAGEMENT
14	MR. ROGILLIO: If we can ease down to the
15	training issue under New Business.
16	MR. GURVICH: Is Ms. Pierre here? Here she is.
17	Would you like to address the board?
18	MS. PIERRE: I think my letter in reference
19	to which one of the things we have on the agenda? I'm
20	talking about
21	MR. GURVICH: The SAFE Management issue
22	MS. PIERRE: Okay.
23	MR. GURVICH: which is not a formal hearing
24	here today.
25	MS. PIERRE: I understand.

1	MR. GURVICH: But if you wanted to discuss it,
2	that's certainly okay. Do we have your letter?
3	MS. PIERRE: Yes, you do.
4	MR. GURVICH: And has that been entered? It's
5	in the packet which I haven't had a chance to read?
6	MR. ROGILLIO: Which matter are you taking
7	first, the SAFE or?
8	MR. GURVICH: The SAFE Management, because I
9	know we have a couple of people here. And there is
10	another letter.
11	MR. ROGILLIO: There's another letter pertaining
12	to military training.
13	MR. GURVICH: Is there a folder for this, or it
14	just shows up in the general folder?
15	MS. RYLAND: It's in your general folder.
16	MR. GURVICH: General folder. Okay.
17	MS. VINSON: The last two pages.
18	MR. GURVICH: Right, okay. So we have a letter
19	which we sent to the board by e-mail dated Thursday,
20	February 7th, 2013. And, Ms. Pierre, did you care to
21	address the board or just enter the
22	MS. PIERRE: If the board has any questions as
23	it relates to my letter, then I would be happy to answer
24	whichever questions they may have.
25	MR. GURVICH: Right. Also, I would like at this

1	time to enter into the record a letter dated Thursday,
2	February 21st, 2013, from Mr. Barkerding at Admiral
3	Security. And I don't believe that's in the records
4	since it just got here. But I will be happy to pass it
5	around for the board members to read, but I do want it in
6	the record. So, obviously, Mr. Barkerding isn't here,
7	couldn't be here, so he won't be addressing the board.
8	MR. ROGILLIO: I don't know what it's pertaining
9	to.
10	MR. GURVICH: He sent me a letter and asked me
11	to this issue.
12	MS. RYLAND: Do you want me to make copies?
13	MR. GURVICH: Yes.
14	MR. ROGILLIO: The training, you're talking
15	about?
16	MR. GURVICH: No. SAFE Management.
17	MR. ROGILLIO: Okay.
18	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Now, so
19	MS. PIERRE: Since he isn't here, I mean, if you
20	want me to just kind of briefly tell the board what this
21	is about?
22	MR. GURVICH: Right. And why don't you take a
23	mic and spend a few minutes and state your issue. By the
24	way, this is not a formal hearing today, and the board
25	is this is not an adversarial rule situation where the

board can assess a fine or do anything. Ms. Pierre.

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.5

MS. PIERRE: Okay. Yes. My letter in reference to SAFE Management, it's just not about SAFE Management. It's about the board's decision when they are making special provisos for companies coming into our state. If we are going to make provisos for those companies, then we should at least have a way of policing it to make sure that they abide by the rules and regulations as set forth by this board. In my opinion, that did not happen. The board did not safeguard the rules and regulations they put forth or the stipulations they put forth for this company. It was no oversight. And the other thing — and that might be too ambitious.

MR. GURVICH: In regards to what specifics?

MS. PIERRE: In regard to the special conditions that you stated that they must follow to have these special conditions.

MR. GURVICH: Specific.

MS. PIERRE: Oh, one being every company would be identified, because everybody was wearing the same uniforms, that the companies would be identified by a particular button. That button would indicate which company that person was working for.

MR. GURVICH: And you're saying that didn't occur?

1	MS. PIERRE: I'm saying it didn't occur in all
2	occasions. I'm saying that we had employees that were
3	employed by us that worked with SAFE that wore buttons
4	for other companies. And I didn't bring any of those
5	people here today because I knew this wasn't a hearing.
6	But, if need be, they would be willing to come forth to
7	say that. They were issued buttons for various
8	companies, be it Lofton, Elliott, and
9	MR. GURVICH: Well, my question is, did anybody
10	work in a, shall we say, secondary capacity, who had
11	previously been employed by a local security contractor,
12	who worked for SAFE, wherein the name of the local
13	company did not appear on the button?
14	MS. PIERRE: The company in which they were
15	employed did not appear on the button.
16	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Now, did that happen in
17	your case?
18	MS. PIERRE: Yes, it did.
19	MR. GURVICH: Okay. You have those buttons
20	available, or you gave
21	MS. PIERRE: No. Those buttons were given back
22	to them. Those buttons weren't retained by the company.
23	MR. GURVICH: You're saying they did not follow
24	I don't know if I would quite call it a special
25	condition. We just decided that it would be duplicative

and onerous for them to go through the process twice and pay twice. I don't know how many special provisos or conditions there were.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2.

2.3

24

25

What we're trying to do is not impose government in an unwieldy duplicative way and add costs that, you know, didn't really appear to be reasonable or rational under the circumstances of this case. However, we did say that we would expect that the name of the local contractor would appear on the button.

Now, you say that, in some cases, it did not. Are there any other particular issues that you have?

MS. PIERRE: Well, the other, the other thing that was very concerning for, I think, myself and other companies is that one of the things you said during your, well, meeting saying about — maybe you don't call it special conditions or however you want to phrase it. One of the things you said is that, will the companies be managing their people, or will you be managing their people? And I think you said, because that makes a difference to me if they have management of their people or you have management of their people, because if —

MR. GURVICH: Did I specifically say that, or was it a board member?

MS. PIERRE: I think you said that.

MR. GURVICH: I don't recollect.

1	MS. PIERRE: But it was in conversation about
2	the management, because, if a company has an employee,
3	then that employee is managed by that company. When
4	that employee is no longer managed by that company, then
5	it becomes a concern about, well, who do they actually
6	work with or for, because if you have no oversight, no
7	management of your people, then how can you say that the
8	work for me? because you are giving them the directions
9	and now you are telling them what to do and we have no
10	supervision over them whatsoever.
11	MR. GURVICH: So the issues were, one, the
12	Global Security Contractors' state security
13	contractors' name wasn't on some of the buttons; and,
14	secondly, you have some concerns about the fact that the
15	supervisory chain of command was taken out of order?
16	MS. PIERRE: Completely taken away from the
17	companies.
18	MR. GURVICH: All right. Now, is there any
19	other particular issues that you had regarding did we
20	get it?
21	MS. PIERRE: Those are the basic issues that are
22	of concern.
23	MR. GURVICH: And everyone, I think okay.

authority as chairman, I will enter the letter into the

And we have your letter, and I would like to, on my

official board record as we — I will also do in the case of Mr. Barkerding's letter. And so now we have a matter of record. Did we get it all? Is that everything you wanted?

MS. PIERRE: That's basically it, yes.

MR. GURVICH: Okay. Well, thank you for being here today. And I believe we have Mr. Kevorkian also.

You may want to respond to those allegations. And if you — is that your intent for being here today?

MR. KEVORKIAN: Yes, sir.

2.0

2.1

2.2.

2.3

MR. GURVICH: And, I mean, it's solely up to you. If you would like to address the board, we would be more than happy to hear from you as well. Find a mic.

MR. KEVORKIAN: Thank you for letting me be here today with you. The two points that Ms. Pierre mentioned, the button, a concern of that was brought to my attention. And when it was brought to my attention, I immediately — I was actually at the stadium when the concern was brought up. I immediately went down and checked using our crew calls, every single guard that was there, and verified that they had the correct buttons attached to the outside of their uniform.

At that time I responded to the representative from CGI, indicating we've — I personally checked. I have reviewed the policy with my check—in administration

team. And, after that, I asked the question: Why would a guard who works for CGI accept a button that does not say CGI?

2.1

2.2.

2.3

We — Ms. Pierre is correct with the process. When someone came up on our credential — and this is an example of the credential. It has the name. It has the employee ID number. And the employee ID number tells us which company they work for. And based off of that, we would actually issue the button for that company.

I didn't randomly — I purchased the number of buttons based off of the number of guards that were credentialed and scheduled. We would issue the button at the beginning of the shift and then accept the button back at the end of the shift.

MR. GURVICH: My question is, is somewhere on the uniform, was one able to distinguish the name of the actual security contractor as opposed to just SAFE Management?

MR. KEVORKIAN: Yes, yes. Every subcontractor received a button, and, to my knowledge, they received the accurate button. This was the only point this time that it was brought to my attention by CGI was the only point that that even occurred. But like I said before, I went back and checked with my check-in administration team and reviewed the process to make sure that we were

giving out the accurate button to every single quard that 2 came in. So one, a member of the public, 3 MR. GURVICH: public law enforcement would be able to ascertain the 4 5 true employer from the indicia that was on the person's outfit. So there was that tag, plus there was a button? 6 MR. KEVORKIAN: Well, the tag, the credential, 7 everyone who worked had to have this credential on, and 8 9 it did have their employee ID on there. This was in addition to the button for every single quard that 10 11 worked. So there was the button and that 12 MR. GURVICH: tag? 13 MR. KEVORKIAN: Yes. 14 15 MR. GURVICH: And so were there any cases, then, wherein the identity of the security contractor was not 16 on the button or it was the incorrect name? 17 18 MR. KEVORKIAN: Not to my knowledge, no. It was 19 brought to my attention in an e-mail from Marian Pierre. I verified it that day. I, like I said, reviewed the 20 process with my team to make sure we were following the 2.1 quidelines that had been laid out to be in accordance 2.2. with the board and responded in an e-mail to Marian 2.3 Pierre's representative. But that was the only instance 24

it was even brought to my attention.

2.5

1	MR. GURVICH: Any comments or questions from
2	board members?
3	MR. ROJAS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Do you have any
4	of those buttons with you?
5	MR. KEVORKIAN: I did not bring a button with
6	me. I apologize.
7	MR. ROJAS: You brought the badges, but not the
8	buttons?
9	MR. KEVORKIAN: I can certainly pass this badge
10	around if you want to see it.
11	MR. ROJAS: No. I think it was the button she
12	was making reference to.
13	MR. KEVORKIAN: Yes. I don't have a button. I
14	apologize.
15	MR. GURVICH: Did all the buttons have some sort
16	of name on them?
17	MR. KEVORKIAN: Yes. Every single we I
18	purchased buttons for every single subcontracted company,
19	so it had "Security" on the top and on the bottom. Under
20	the Super Bowl logo, it had the name of the company.
21	MR. GURVICH: Was there a shortage; you had an
22	adequate
23	MR. KEVORKIAN: No. I made sure I purchased
24	I I have a storeroom full of buttons right now.
25	MR. GURVICH: Comments? Questions? Ms. Pierre,

a brief rejoinder? Well, you need to take a microphone. 2 You can take it right there if you like. MS. PIERRE: I can't attest to how many times it 3 happened with anybody else. The only thing I can tell 4 you about is what happened with our company. And maybe 5 nobody brought it to Mike's attention, and maybe his 6 staff didn't bring it to his attention. But it was 7 brought to my attention. 8 9 The other thing is that, when you asked why an employee would take a button of another company, then I 10 can't answer that for you. But I can answer to the fact 11 that they identified themselves as CGI employees. And as 12 Mike said, they had on a lanyard that said "CGI 13 employees." So if that's the case, why even give them a 14 15 button from another company? I mean, because that's his 16 job and his company's job to make sure that the buttons are given to the proper company, not the guards. 17 18 MR. GURVICH: Well, let me ask you a question. 19 MS. PIERRE: Sure. MR. GURVICH: How many folks did you -- do you 2.0 recollect that you furnished to the --2.1 How many do I recollect that 2.2. MS. PIERRE: MR. GURVICH: How many folks did you all have at 2.3 24 the game or what of all these NFL activities?

Maybe 60.

MS. PIERRE?

1	MR. GURVICH: Now, of those 60, how many are
2	talking about that did not have the correct button?
3	MS. PIERRE: Well, I don't have a count of
4	that. I didn't keep a count of that.
5	MR. GURVICH: Was it all of them had an
6	incorrect button?
7	MS. PIERRE: No. It wasn't all of them.
8	MR. GURVICH: Was it most of them had an
9	incorrect button?
10	MS. PIERRE: Most of them may have had a correct
11	button, but it did happen.
12	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Can you quantify it a
13	little better? Was it a small minority that didn't
14	have
15	MS. PIERRE: It might have been a smaller
16	amount.
17	MR. GURVICH: Okay. But you don't want to
18	speculate as to exactly how many
19	MS. PIERRE: No, I don't. The thing is, is that
20	whether it was 1 or whether it was 100, it happened. And
21	so my point is that I wanted to bring it to this board's
22	attention. If you do nothing about it, I just wanted you
23	to make your
24	MR. GURVICH: We can't do anything about it
25	today. It's not officially —

MS. PIERRE: Right. If nothing is done about 2 it --3 MR. GURVICH: This is just a comment. MS. PIERRE: -- it's just information for this 4 5 board to consider. Whenever they are doing something like this again that these type of things happen, and, 6 possibly, this board may consider having more oversight 7 when these kinds of things are happening and it's under 8 9 your governing. MR. ROMERO: Mr. Chairman. 10 11 MR. GURVICH: Mr. Romero. MR. ROMERO: Ms. Pierre, were the company 12 principals in a position to verify the credentialing 13 before the credentials were provided? 14 15 MS. PIERRE: I have no idea. I don't know how 16 that works, Chip, because we weren't there. Our management staff was not there. Our people were sent to 17 18 a particular location for a particular time, and then 19 they were turned over to SAFE. MR. ROMERO: Was it possible for your management 2.0 people to be there? 2.1 MS. PIERRE: Only, only to the point of where 2.2. they entered. As far as going, finding them, and being 2.3 in control of them to see if everybody had the right 24 badge, if that's what you are saying, no, we didn't. 25

1	MR. ROMERO: Thank you.
2	MR. KEVORKIAN: Mr. Romero, can I speak to
3	that?
4	MR. GURVICH: Certainly.
5	MR. KEVORKIAN: We did allow each subcontracting
6	company to have personnel at the check-in location. And,
7	on numerous occasions, I do know that there was a
8	representative from CGI within five feet of the location
9	of where the individual checked in and would have
10	received a button.
11	MR. ROMERO: Okay. Thank you.
12	MR. GURVICH: Any further comments or
13	questions? All right. Well, I want to thank everyone
14	for being here. I think we hashed it out, and it's
15	really up to the board staff from here on out. Thank
16	you, sir, for being here. Ms. Pierre, thank you as well.
17	Next matter, Chief.
18	NEW BUSINESS, TRAINING, DISCUSSION OF MILITARY TRAINING
19	MR. ROGILLIO: Do you want to discuss the
20	military training letter now?
21	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Well, come on back up.
22	There's a request; there's a military training letter in
23	here somewhere?
24	MR. ROGILLIO: It should be.
25	MR. GURVICH: It's not a matter of record. I

will enter it into the record.

2.2.

2.3

Okay. We have a letter signed by Ms. Pierre dated February 1, 2013. I believe it has to do with military folks and the board situation. Ms. Pierre.

MS. PIERRE: Okay. The letter basically is telling you guys that I would just like you to reconsider the way military people are viewed as it relates to hiring practices with the security industry. We are committed to hiring heroes and working with them, and we are finding that 22 percent of the young men and women returning to Louisiana are not employed, are looking for employment.

I have spoken with them on several occasions, the people that are working with hiring heroes, and it seems that a lot of them are interested in the security industry but can ill afford the costs associated with it. So what I'm asking the board to consider is a, I guess a abbreviated training program for these young people coming back to Louisiana and looking for employment.

The only thing that can do for us is improve our industry. When you look at the fact that they have between 14 and 23 weeks of training coming out of the military, and by the nature alone, the Marines are considered sentry, we might want to look at that.

Right now you are considering only if they have been 1 a security quard in the military. And I say to you, all 2 those people go through this training process, that they 3 protect this nation. And I think that we would be a 4 better industry if we are able to employ some of these 5 young people coming back with an abbreviated training, 6 maybe four hours of training as we do with out of state 7 companies that come in. And maybe they could learn the 8 law and maybe the -- maybe have to redo firearms or 9 something like that. 10 But if they are honorably discharged and they are 11 interested in this industry, I think it would make this 12

But if they are honorably discharged and they are interested in this industry, I think it would make this industry better for all of us and give us an opportunity to repay them or pay them for their service to our country.

MR. GURVICH: Thank you. Any comments or questions for Ms. Pierre?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I have to say that I agree with Ms. Pierre.

MR. GURVICH: So noted.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2.

2.3

24

25

MR. ROMERO: Mr. Chairman.

MR. GURVICH: Mr. Romero.

MR. ROMERO: Ms. Pierre, I would agree with you as well insofar as I think it would certainly benefit the industry for us to promote those serving in the military

to come into our industry. I think we do have some 2 requirements, legislation and regulations, that has to be 3 looked at, and we need to instruct to that. Same thing, quite frankly, with law enforcement. 4 The purpose of the duties of law enforcement isn't much 5 different than security as with the military. So I would 6 agree with you if there would be a mechanism that we 7 could still provide the instructions as necessary to make 8 9 sure people understand the requirements of the Louisiana State Board of Private Security. So if there is a 10 mechanism to facilitate that, I would be in agreement. 11 Here's my suggestion for the four 12 MS. PIERRE: hours of training, just making them familiar with the 13 state laws and the requirements of security. 14 15 MR. GURVICH: We will take it into 16 consideration. Any further comments or questions for 17 Ms. Pierre? Thanks for being here. 18 MS. PIERRE: Okay. Thank you. MR. GURVICH: Chief, next matter. 19 LEGAL UPDATE/LEGISLATION 20 MR. ROGILLIO: Legal Update/Legislation. 2.1 MS. MCLEARY: It's a fiscal only session 2.2. starting this spring. Don't anticipate any bills that 2.3

would affect the industry, but, as always, we will

monitor those and see if any are filed.

24

1	MR. GURVICH: They still can file five bills?
2	MS. MCLEARY: Right, correct, each legislator.
3	But, and we will monitor the filed bills to make sure
4	that there's nothing that affects the industry one way or
5	the other.
6	MR. GURVICH: Right. And I don't think we have
7	anything in any great urgency that we are trying to do.
8	MS. MCLEARY: No, sir. I don't believe we do.
9	And no bills have come out of the legislative
10	subcommittee.
11	MR. GURVICH: Do you and Frank feel comfortable
12	that, if somebody were trying to do something that would
13	affect the industry, you will be there enough to know
14	about it?
15	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir, definitely. And we have
16	a software, a service, actually, Legiscon, that we sign
17	up for. And there are key words. We monitor every
18	committee, every bill that comes up on a daily basis.
19	Frank is down there for a multitude of purposes, but he
20	always has an eye out for private security bills.
21	MR. GURVICH: I really don't want any more of
22	this Fourth Judicial District Court thing, you know, that
23	we had to
24	MS. MCLEARY: Right.
25	MR. GURVICH: Did we ever get that report?

1	MS. MCLEARY: We did. We did last session.
2	MR. GURVICH: That just fragments and damages
3	the industry.
4	MS. MCLEARY: We will be on the lookout and we
5	can report that back the next I believe we will be in
6	session during the next meeting, next quarterly meeting,
7	so we'll be able to update you then should any be filed.
8	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Any further legal
9	comments/questions? Next matter.
10	OLD BUSINESS, STATUS REPORTS
11	MR. ROGILLIO: The next matter is Old Business,
12	Status Reports, number of officers.
13	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Everybody can take a look.
14	Anything, any significant changes in operations, numbers,
15	income?
16	MR. ROGILLIO: Nothing to amount to anything,
17	sir.
18	MR. GURVICH: How are we doing relative to the
19	projected budget?
20	NEW BUSINESS, MISCELLANEOUS, PURCHASE OF VEHICLE,
21	SCANNERS
22	MR. ROGILLIO: We are doing quite well. I do
23	have to further down, you will see that we need to
24	amend the budget. There are some items that are going to
25	have to be purchased.

1	MR. GURVICH: What particular items, Chief?
2	MR. ROGILLIO: With your permission, with the
3	board's permission, one of the things is, we need to
4	purchase a new van. Our van is beginning to give us some
5	transmission problems, and if you had any transmission
6	repairs, you know it's normally upward of \$2500 or so.
7	
	MR. GURVICH: How many miles do you have on that
8	thing?
9	MR. ROGILLIO: A hundred and what, Dickie?
10	MR. THORNTON: 109.
11	MR. ROGILLIO: 109,000.
12	MR. GURVICH: Heck. That's low mileage. In the
13	security industry, that's low mileage.
14	MR. ROGILLIO: What I'm concerned about is him
15	getting in Shreveport or someplace like that and not
16	being able to go and we've got to make arrangements.
17	MR. GURVICH: And you indicate in here how much
18	you propose to spend?
19	MR. ROGILLIO: Well, there is a contract with a
20	with several firms. And the vehicle we have chosen is
21	a vehicle like he now drives, which is what he wants and
22	I think it's what we need for the office.
23	MR. GURVICH: Do you have the money to do it?
24	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir.
25	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Where are the contracts?

1	MS. RYLAND: It's not actually the contract.
2	It's the information that comes from the contract that we
3	have to
4	MR. GURVICH: Right, right. There's the vehicle
5	information, 20,514. Are you asking for board approval
6	for the purchase?
7	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir.
8	MS. RYLAND: And that's not including the
9	registration fees, does it?
10	MR. GURVICH: This probably doesn't have tax,
11	title, and license.
12	MR. ROGILLIO: Right, right.
13	MR. GURVICH: Okay. So moved to approve the
14	vehicle information
15	MR. DUPLECHAIN: Second.
16	MR. GURVICH: with the associated tax, title,
17	license, and other costs. I think we have a motion by
18	Mr. Gurvich, second by was that Mr. Duplechain down
19	there and who else?
20	MS. VINSON: Me.
21	MR. GURVICH: And Ms. Vinson. Debate?
22	Discussion? All in favor of the motion stated say aye.
23	ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
24	MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? The motion passes
25	unanimously.

1 MR. ROGILLIO: The next thing is --

2.1

2.2.

2.3

MR. GURVICH: Now, the budget thing, you say we need to amend it?

MR. ROGILLIO: What we are hoping to do is provide a scanner in each of the offices. We are in the process of attempting to go paperless as much as possible, because we are beginning to run out of room to store file cabinets and documents.

And in order to do that, each office, each person in each office is going to have to have a scanner. And then we're going to have to have a larger scanner which would be in Jane's office hooked to her computer. And that's about a \$20,000 item. It may not run that much, but we would like to be able to ask for that much just in case it runs over.

MS. VINSON: Does our copy machine scan?

MR. ROGILLIO: It does, yes.

MS. RYLAND: It does, but it's not going to handle what we do every day. We had the guy that — if Wayne don't mind, we had the guy that is on our contract, Michael Morgan, to come in and look at everything and give us the best scenario that he could offer. And he even found the scanners for us that are much lower than what we would have probably picked and said this will work; however, they are like a thousand dollars per

. 1	
1	scanner, but they should last us four to five years. And
2	so he is really trustworthy, and, you know, we feel
3	like
4	MR. GURVICH: What's the total amount? I see
5	this per scanner price. How many scanners did y'all want
6	to buy?
7	MS. RYLAND: We're going to have to have 12.
8	MR. GURVICH: So multiply 12 times \$2719?
9	MS. RYLAND: No, no. The 900.
10	MR. GURVICH: Oh, the 925.
11	MS. RYLAND: And we'll have to have one of the
12	27 and then another computer.
13	MR. GURVICH: Give me your rough guesstimate on
14	the total cost.
15	MS. RYLAND: 20,000 is what we said. We may not
16	use that much, but he said we might get into it and have
17	to add some equipment to make things work with the
18	server. I mean, he is not sure that will happen, but
19	MR. GURVICH: Well, give me a number that you
20	know you can we'll give you an authorization, I'm
21	sure, but I don't want to cut you short.
22	MS. RYLAND: Well, I would say seventeen five at
23	the minimum.
24	MR. GURVICH: Well, why don't we add a little
25	onto that, not saying to spend it, just saying you have

1	the authorization.
2	MS. RYLAND: That's what I'm saying. With the
3	20,000, we have that leeway.
4	MR. GURVICH: Is 20,000 enough?
5	MS. RYLAND: Yes, yes. That will be plenty.
6	And that's what he told us.
7	MR. GURVICH: All right. Do I hear a motion?
8	MR. KENNEDY: Yes. Motion to give them
9	\$20,000.
10	MR. ROJAS: Second.
11	MR. GURVICH: Motion by Mr. Kennedy to authorize
12	\$20,000 for expenditures on this system. Who seconded
13	that?
14	MR. ROJAS: Second.
15	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Motion by Mr. Kennedy,
16	second by Mr. Rojas. All in favor well, debate?
17	Discussion? If not, I will call for the vote. All in
18	favor say aye.
19	ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
20	MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? Motion passes
21	unanimously. Now, that's all your equipment purchases,
22	right? Do we need to amend the budget?
23	NEW BUSINESS, MISCELLANEOUS, AMEND 2012-2013 BUDGET
24	MR. ROGILLIO: We need a motion for the
25	amendment.

1	MR. GURVICH: So I will move to amend the budget
2	to take account of the equipment, two equipment purchases
3	or the system and the vehicle purchases just enunciated.
4	Do I hear a second?
5	MR. DUPLECHAIN: Second.
6	MS. VINSON: Second.
7	MR. GURVICH: Motion to amend the budget jointly
8	seconded by Mr. Rojas and Ms. Vinson. Was there anyone
9	else?
10	MR. KENNEDY: Yes.
11	MR. GURVICH: And Mr. Kennedy.
12	MS. RYLAND: Hold on one second. We need it
13	amended, the budget itself, due to income and increases
14	in expenses.
15	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes. The budget needs to be
16	amended.
17	MR. GURVICH: Then I will withdraw the motion.
18	Now tell me what it is you need.
19	MR. ROGILLIO: I think you need another motion
20	is what you need to amend the budget as is
21	MR. GURVICH: We'll make one motion. What part,
22	besides the two deals we just talked about, what else?
23	MR. ROGILLIO: Well, the income is different.
24	MR. GURVICH: Is it up? Down?
25	MR. ROGILLIO: It's up.

1	MR. GURVICH: How let's see, do we have a
2	comparative 2012-2013, or do we just have the 2013?
3	MS. RYLAND: No. There are some notes made on
4	the expenditure list on the expense budget of why that we
5	need to amend it.
6	MR. GURVICH: Okay. So you are saying we are
7	making more money now than we were?
8	MS. RYLAND: Well, the revenues need to be
9	amended, but, actually, yeah. But it's not a tremendous
10	amount. But the expense budget is our main concern. We
11	need to do both of them, but if you notice on there, she
12	has listed the printing, the office supplies, and the
13	retirement. And you will see different notes that she
14	has on there. And those things are things that we need
15	to amend to add to the budget.
16	MR. GURVICH: Now, so you want to amend the
17	budget, the whole budget?
18	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir.
19	MR. GURVICH: Okay. And so it's all presented
20	here. Does the amended budget take into account of what
21	we just spent, the scanning system and the vehicle?
22	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir.
23	MS. RYLAND: That's in there, yes.
24	MR. GURVICH: Okay. I will make a motion to
25	amend the budget in conformance with the documents

1	presented by the board staff today. Is that adequate for
2	your needs?
3	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir.
4	MR. GURVICH: Do I hear a second?
5	MR. KENNEDY: Second.
6	MR. GURVICH: Motion by Mr. Gurvich jointly
7	seconded by Mr. Duplechain and Mr. Kennedy. Debate?
8	Discussion? All in favor of the motion as stated say
9	aye.
10	ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
11	MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? The motion passes
12	unanimously. Does that get you where you want to be?
13	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. That's it.
14	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Next matter.
15	(Mr. Duplechain leaves room.)
16	MR. ROGILLIO: Let's see, we have taken care of
17	the training and SAFE. Let's see, hearings.
18	MR. GURVICH: We did office, and I guess we did
19	financial.
20	MR. ROGILLIO: We can go to the adjudicatory
21	hearings. I don't think maybe one person is here, one
22	or two people here out of all five of those, so if you
23	want to, we will call the names.
24	NEW BUSINESS, MISCELLANEOUS, ATTORNEY GENERAL CONTRACT
25	MR. GURVICH: Let me get to this point. Under

MR. GURVICH: Let me get to this point. Under

Miscellaneous, I wanted to present to the board a contract. It's an attorney general contract on the case pending before the board to retain independent defense counsel. I will pass around to the board the contract and make a motion to approve it as presented. Do I hear a — well, I will wait for a second until you all have had an opportunity to look at the contract.

2.0

2.2

2.3

MS. MCLEARY: Chairman Gurvich, considering this is a pending litigation against the board, an appeal from a decision of the board, litigation strategies are one of those circumstances in which you can go into executive session to discuss.

MR. GURVICH: Yes. I understand that. I don't really propose that we discuss litigation strategies. I just want to get the contract approved. The litigation is going to be what the litigation is going to be. I don't — I hadn't anticipated discussing strategies.

MS. MCLEARY: Just the nature of the defense.

MR. GURVICH: Right. I don't know that it's necessary. I mean, if someone wants to move to go into executive session, we certainly can. I don't know that it's necessary under the circumstances. If y'all would like to take a minute — in fact, why don't we go on to something else while the board has an opportunity to read the contract. We will always come back to it.

MR. ROGILLIO: Do you want to begin with the 1 2 adjudicatories, then? ADJUDICATORY HEARINGS, RONALD MACOMBER 3 MS. MCLEARY: We only have one, I believe. It's 4 a continuation of Mr. Ronald Macomber's hearing that was 5 previously held in December. It's just a few matters 6 7 that the board had wanted -- a few witnesses the board had wanted to hear from and a few matters to tie up. 8 9 MR. GURVICH: If we have got witnesses and all that sort of thing. Do we have some hearings that really 10 11 are just --We don't have any others, sir. 12 MS. MCLEARY: We only have one hearing? MR. GURVICH: 13 MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir. 14 15 I thought we had --MR. GURVICH: MS. MCLEARY: No, sir. We had three no-shows 16 17 and one -- Ms. Lori Kent, I believe, is her name -- will be continued. She was going to proceed with getting an 18 expungement that would try to remedy the reasons for her 19 2.0 And the others have not shown up, so. MR. GURVICH: Well, has everybody had a chance 21 to read the contract? Do I hear a second to the motion? 2.2. 2.3 MR. BAFR: Second. MR. GURVICH: Motion by Mr. Gurvich to approve 24 the contract, second by Mr. Baer. Debate? Discussion?

2 ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 3 MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? The motion passes unanimously. By the way, this has nothing to do with --4 you are the board's attorney. This is just for one case. 5 MS. MCLEARY: Understood. 6 MR. GURVICH: It doesn't affect anything else 7 that we're doing. 8 9 (Mr. Duplechain reenters room.) MR. GURVICH: All right. So now we have the 10 11 hearing. How many witnesses do we have? MS. MCLEARY: We have five. Yes, sir. 12 board had wished -- there were actually -- this is an 13 appeal from a staff action. Staff had denied 14 15 Mr. Macomber's application for an instructor license with 16 his new company. Most of it was heard December 7th. There were two issues, really, as far as the reasons 17 for his denial. The first one was alleged improper 18 19 grading of tests while he was an instructor with Weiser Security. And then the second issue was six guards that 20 were previously trained under Mr. Macomber under Weiser 2.1 2.2. and had to be, after a subsequent audit, retrained. 2.3 And the board had chosen to want to hear from some

All in favor of the motion as stated say aye.

of those guards who had to be -- allegedly had to be

retrained. Four of those six guards are here today as

24

1	the board requested. And then, Ms. Tina Martin, who was
2	a part of the audit and the investigation, was not able
3	to be present the first hearing and she is present and
4	under subpoena today.
5	MR. GURVICH: Okay. So how many folks do you
6	have?
7	MS. MCLEARY: We have four guards and Ms. Tina
8	Martin.
9	MR. GURVICH: Five witnesses.
10	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir.
11	MR. GURVICH: And who is the individual, the
12	contractor or the instructor seeking the license; is
13	he here today?
14	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir. Mr. Ronald Macomber.
15	MR. GURVICH: Where is Mr. Macomber?
16	MR. MACOMBER: Here, sir.
17	MS. MCLEARY: And I'm not sure if he has any
18	additional witnesses. I do not know.
19	MR. GURVICH: All right. So we are going to
20	have a contradictory hearing.
21	MR. DUPLECHAIN: We already voted on
22	MR. GURVICH: So I would ask Mr. Macomber, if
23	you would, step forward and find a microphone over here.
24	MR. DUPLECHAIN: This was voted on while I went
25	to the rest room?

1	MR. GURVICH: That's right. Oh, I'm sorry. I
2	didn't know you did you wish to record a vote?
3	MR. DUPLECHAIN: I would like to have a closed
4	hearing on it.
5	MR. GURVICH: Do you want to move for executive
6	session?
7	MR. DUPLECHAIN: I sure would.
8	MR. GURVICH: There is a two-thirds' vote
9	required, and you need a second. Is there a second to
10	Mr. Duplechain's motion to go into executive session?
11	There being no second, the motion fails. Would you like
12	to record a vote, though, Chuck?
13	MR. DUPLECHAIN: No, sir.
14	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Then we will record that as
15	an abstention?
16	MR. DUPLECHAIN: No, sir. I resign.
17	(Mr. Duplechain leaves hearing.)
18	MR. GURVICH: All right. Well, we are not
19	accepting your resignation until later, and you'll have
20	an opportunity I don't think the board wishes to act
21	on his resignation. Does anyone? He said he resigned,
22	but we will let him cool down. Next, so here we are with
23	Mr. Macomber. And is this a continuance?
24	MS. MCLEARY: It is.
25	MR. GURVICH: All right. So we are taking up

where we left off; is that right? 2 MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir. All right. So where did we leave 3 MR. GURVICH: off? 4 MS. MCLEARY: We left off with, my case in chief 5 was almost completed. There was a lot of hearsay 6 testimony about Ms. Tina Martin's involvement. 7 were three, apparently, HR professionals who could help 8 9 conduct the audit and she was one of them and she was not 10 present. 11 MR. GURVICH: We are still on your case in chief? 12 13 MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir. 14 MR. GURVICH: Okay. go ahead, then. I just 15 want to make sure to let everybody have a chance to open all -- but that's already happened, so we are still on 16 your case in chief. So why don't you call your 17 18 witnesses. 19 MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir. I'd like to call 20 Ms. Tina Martin. Okay. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF MS. MARTIN BY MS. MCLEARY: 2.1 Ms. Martin, could you please state your name for the 2.2 2.3 record for us here today. 24 Α. Tina Martin. 25 MS. RYLAND: She needs to be sworn in.

- 1 MS. MCLEARY: Oh, I'm sorry.
- MR. GURVICH: Yes. All the witnesses need to be sworn.
- MS. MCLEARY: Yes. If you would just stand and face this lady right here.
- 6 (Ms. Tina Martin, Ms. Ashley Ruffin, Mr. Keith Paul, and 7 two gentlemen are administered the oath.)
- 8 MS. MCLEARY: Okay. Thank you.
- 9 BY MS. MCLEARY:
- 10 Q. If you would, please start again. State your name
- 12 A. Tina Martin.

here for the record.

- 13 Q. And, Ms. Martin, are you employed by a security
- 14 | company?

- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 \parallel Q. And what company is that?
- 17 A. Weiser Security.
- 18 | Q. In what capacity are you employed?
- 19 A. I'm the human resource manager.
- 20 | Q. Did you have occasion to have any dealings with
- 21 Mr. Ronald Macomber while he was employed with your
- 22 company?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. And what was the nature of those interactions?
- $25 \parallel A$. We both were state board instructors and coworkers.

- 1 Q. Okay. Were you asked are you aware of an audit
- 2 conducted by your company into Mr. Macomber's activities
- 3 | as an instructor?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. And were you involved with that audit?
- 6 A. Not to the extreme.
- 7 | Q. Okay. What was your involvement?
- 8 | A. I basically gave the information to my branch
- 9 manager when I saw something, a discrepancy, in the
- 10 | training -- of the grading, I should say. I informed my
- 11 branch manager.
- 12 | Q. You had access to the records of his grades from his
- 13 | classes that he instructed?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Okay. And how did you come about looking into
- 16 | that?
- 17 | A. I have to put the gray sheets and the blue ones, the
- 18 copy of the blue and whites, inside of each employee's
- 19 | folder; so, therefore, I see the copies, also the
- 20 | originals, because I mail the originals in to the state.
- 21 | Q. And what did you see in Mr. Macomber's training
- 22 records that caused -- was there anything in
- 23 Mr. Macomber's training records that gave you concern?
- 24 | A. The grading, the scores.
- 25 | Q. And what were you concerned about his scores?

- 1 A. There were lots of 100s on first and second eight
- 2 hours continuously.
- 3 \parallel Q. In your experience as an instructor, is that common?
- 4 | A. No.
- $5 \parallel Q$. What did you do when you saw a lot of 100s on
- 6 Mr. Macomber's tests?
- 7 | A. I alerted my branch manager.
- $8 \parallel Q$. And then what happened?
- 9 A. He -- she took it from there. I was out of it.
- 10 | Once I alerted her, I continued to do my job, and that
- 11 was the most of it.
- 12 | Q. Were you asked at some point to regrade those,
- 13 | Mr. Macomber's tests, that he had provided?
- 14 || A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. And what were your results from that regrading?
- 16 A. There were answers that were wrong, but they weren't
- 17 | indicated on the original grading. Like looking at the
- 18 answer sheets, there was nothing written, marked wrong,
- 19 but the answers were incorrect. So I was marking the
- 20 | incorrect ones, which was decreasing the 100s scores to
- 21 | 90s and 80s and whatever it should have been at that
- 22 | time.
- 23 | Q. So you, as an instructor, obtained different score
- 24 | results on Mr. Macomber's tests than he had?
- 25 | A. Yes.

- 1 | Q. And as a result, you turned this over to whom, you 2 | said?
- 3 | A. My branch manager.
- $4 \parallel Q$. And who would that be?
- 5 A. Nicole Errigo.
- 6 | Q. Okay. Do you know what happened from that point on?
- 7 A. She then took it to the support center.
- 8 | Q. Okay. Were you at all involved any further with any
- 9 of those individuals that Mr. Macomber had previously
- 10 | instructed?
- 11 | A. "Involved," what do you mean?
- 12 Q. In any kind of regrading, retesting, retraining?
- 13 A. Yes. Once instructed by the support center to
- 14 | retrain, I did just that.
- 15 | Q. And how many individuals would you say you
- 16 | retrained?
- 17 | A. I'm trying to visualize the room. About six for the
- 18 | retraining, and I had two new guards at the time, so I
- 19 | had about six.
- 20 | Q. And you personally reinstructed them?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And did you provide them with the full state-
- 23 required curriculum in your teaching?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Do you keep sign-in sheets or anything about that

1 retraining?
2 A. Yes.
3 Q. And were those provided to Weiser?
4 A. Yes.
5 MS. MCLEARY: And I believe, f

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2.

2.3

24

MS. MCLEARY: And I believe, for the board's — those, those sign—in sheets, were previously provided and into the record last session.

I have no further questions of you, Ms. Martin, but Mr. Macomber may have some questions. So, if you would, just answer his questions.

MR. MACOMBER: Yes, I do.

- CROSS-EXAMINATION OF MS. MARTIN BY MR. MACOMBER:
- Q. Let me see where I start. You testified under oath here that you have never had any of your students, being an instructor, get 100 percent?
- 16 | A. I'm sorry?
 - Q. In your professional experience, you never had guards get 100 percent on the test was what you just said?
 - A. No. I did not say that. I was asked questions.
 - MR. MACOMBER: If you read back the minutes, I think she said something that, in her capacity as an instructor, experience, she has never had guards pass 100 percent.
- 25 MS. MCLEARY: Mr. Macomber, I believe I asked

her if it was typical. 2 MR. MACOMBER: Typical. 3 MS. MCLEARY: Correct. BY MR. MACOMBER: 4 Could that be maybe because of the training? 5 I'm not understanding your question. 6 Maybe they got 100 percent because I --7 Speak up a little bit for her if 8 MS. MCLEARY: 9 you don't mind. BY MR. MACOMBER: 10 Maybe they got 100 percent because I trained them 11 and I was involved with them; could that be a possible? 12 I don't have a response to that. I don't know how 13 Α. you train. We both instruct differently. 14 Thank you. You testified also that you retrained 15 six of the people that I had trained, and you used the 16 correct curriculum and time? because the board can go 17 18 back to the December 7th hearing, where I had witnesses 19 testify. If I can object to his question, 2.0 MS. MCLEARY: actually, I did not ask if she provided the time. 2.1 2.2 asked if she provided the curriculum provided for. MR. MACOMBER: I'm sorry, ma'am. 2.3 24 testimony, the curriculum was --

MS. MCLEARY: If you will rephrase.

1	MR. GURVICH: Rephrase the question somewhat.
2	BY MR. MACOMBER:
3	Q. Okay. Back in December 7th, I had witnesses that
4	testified under oath that they were not properly trained
5	the curriculum.
6	MS. MCLEARY: I will object to that. I don't
7	believe that that was what was testified to.
8	MR. MACOMBER: I believe so. I had a witness
9	sitting here.
10	MR. GURVICH: In the previous hearing?
11	MS. MCLEARY: In the previous hearing.
12	MR. MACOMBER: Yeah. I had a witness here
13	MS. MCLEARY: I have the minutes and they can
14	look.
15	MR. MACOMBER: Okay.
16	MS. MCLEARY: But I don't believe that your
17	witness was able to say whether or not he was he
18	actually, I believe, did say he believed he was taught
19	the curriculum, but it was he was not there for the eight
20	hours. But I do believe your witness did testify that he
21	was taught the curriculum.
22	MR. MACOMBER: Correct. That's what I was
23	getting at. Thank you.
24	MS. MCLEARY: Would you like for me to look it
25	up, because or just take note of it?

1	MR. MACOMBER: I'm just saying that she wasn't
2	here last time, and I had a witness testify of what she
3	didn't do. And now she is here today and she's saying
4	she did do it. So I'm just wondering
5	MR. GURVICH: Well, what is on the last time is
6	on the record.
7	MR. MACOMBER: Okay. Thank you.
8	MR. GURVICH: So we are dealing with today's
9	issues, and so I guess we can figure out how we are going
10	to ultimately handle this, because I guess we have to
11	pause at some point before we render a decision on this
12	to allow everybody time to review the minutes from the
13	previous meeting.
14	MS. MCLEARY: We could. I believe they have
15	been provided in your packets.
16	MR. GURVICH: Right. We're just seeing them
17	today. We might need to take some time to do that.
18	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir. Ms. Martin, there was a
19	lot of hearsay testimony about Ms. Martin's involvement,
20	and the board's request was
21	MR. GURVICH: We allow that sort of thing in
22	administrative hearings.
23	MS. MCLEARY: Right. You had just wanted her to
24	fill in some of the gaps and have Mr. Macomber have an
25	opportunity

MR. GURVICH: Let's try and keep this relevant. 2 Let's limit the reliance on hearsay as much as we can, even though it's allowed. And we want everything to go 3 to the probity of the evidence. 4 Okay. Where were we? Mr. Macomber was cross-5 examining your witness. Mr. Macomber. 6 Thank you. That was my point I 7 MR. MACOMBER: wanted to make. 8 9 MR. GURVICH: All right. Redirect? MS. MCLEARY: No, sir. No questions. 10 Okay. Thank you, ma'am, for being 11 MR. GURVICH: Next witness. 12 here. MS. MCLEARY: It was the board's request that we 13 have some of the guards that Ms. Martin had retested and 14 15 so we were able to identify those and they are subpoenaed here today. 16 17 MR. GURVICH: Is there some possible -- just 18 looking to expedite this, could we agree that they are going to say essentially the same thing as the previous 19 witness? 20 MS. MCLEARY: I believe so. Well, I believe 21 that Mr. Macomber's issue has been all along that the 2.2 actual time of 16 hours in the classroom was not -- they 2.3 24 didn't actually sit in a classroom for 16 hours. There

was significant testimony the last time about the

facility, that there was a problem with the TV, I believe, or recording device, and the availability of the room.

2.1

2.2.

2.3

There was some testimony that I read, and I point to you, that the instructor in Weiser had contacted the board and advised them of these issues, and they were told, if the curriculum is taught, the actual physical presence in the room for 16 hours was not required. That was what Mr. Macomber's significant issue was with saying that Ms. Martin's retraining was not sufficient, was not adequate, and wasn't in compliance with board regs.

I believe that they all could say that they weren't present in the room sitting there for 16 hours, but that they did — were taught the full curriculum. That was what would be my — what I would elicit from them.

MR. GURVICH: Well, Mr. Macomber has the right to cross-examine these witnesses --

MS. MCLEARY: Correct.

MR. GURVICH: — and I certainly don't wish to circumscribe that right in any wise, but if there is a way to do this quicker.

MS. MCLEARY: But I believe — Mr. Macomber, are there other issues that you would want from these witnesses, other than the fact that they weren't physically present for 16 hours?

MR. MACOMBER: Yes. If I'm being accused of this, she did the same thing, so I just want to know where the fairness is on that. And I do have questions for them, because --

MR. GURVICH: Well, okay. And I don't wish to circumscribe the right to cross-examination. If you want to -- how many more have we got, three witnesses or four?

> MS. MCLEARY: Four.

Four. Okay. Call them up. You MR. GURVICH: have the absolute right to cross-examine them.

> Thank you, sir. MR. MACOMBER:

- Keith Paul, is Mr. Paul here? You MS. MCLEARY: can sit by me over here.
- DIRECT EXAMINATION OF MR. KEITH PAUL BY MS. MCLEARY: 15
- Q. Good morning. 16

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- 17 A. Good morning.
- If you could, just state your name for the record 18 Ο. for us.
- Keith Paul. 2.0 Α.
- And just speak up so they can all hear. 2.1
- A. Keith Paul. 2.2
- And, Mr. Paul, are you employed by a security 2.3
- 24 company?
- 25 Α. Yes, I am.

- 1 | MR. GURVICH: And have you been sworn as a witness?
- 3 || MR. PAUL: Yes, sir.
- 4 BY MS. MCLEARY:
- 5 | Q. And you are a licensed quard?
- 6 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 7 | Q. Who do you work for?
- 8 A. Weiser Security.
- 9 Q. When you were hired at Weiser, were you provided
- 10 guard training?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Who provided you that training?
- 13 A. Mr. Ron Macomber.
- 14 | Q. Do you remember when Mr. Macomber provided that
- 15 | training to you, what year?
- 16 \parallel A. Yeah. It was in February 2012.
- 17 | Q. Where was that training held?
- 18 A. It was at the Work Force Center in Houma.
- 19 Q. Okay. And was that over a period of two days?
- 20 Three days?
- 21 | A. Two days.
- 22 | Q. Two days. And do you remember what time you guys
- 23 started in the mornings?
- 24 | A. I want to say it was eight, nine o'clock.
- 25 | Q. What time would you report to the center?

- 1 | A. I was there for -- I was like there for seven o'clock.
- Q. And what time, about, did the training start on the
- 4 | first day?
- A. I want to say it was no later we started before nine o'clock.
- 7 | Q. Okay. Did you guys break for lunch?
- 8 A. Yeah. We "breaked" for lunch.
- 9 Q. Okay. And did you guys have training after lunch too?
- 11 A. We had training after lunch also.
- 12 | Q. Until about what time?
- COURT REPORTER: Wait. What was that answer?

 "Did you guys have training after lunch too?"
- MR. PAUL: Yes. We had training after lunch.
- 16 BY MS. MCLEARY:
- 17 | Q. Until about what time?
- 18 A. I want to say, the first day, we didn't leave it
 19 was after five o'clock.
- Q. Okay. And what about the second day, what time did
 you get there?
- 22 A. About the same time.
- 23 | O. And did it start about the same time also?
- 24 | A. It started about the same time.
- 25 | Q. Did you guys break for lunch?

- 1 \parallel A. We "breaked" for lunch.
- 2 | Q. About how much time did Mr. Macomber give you guys
- $3 \parallel \text{for lunch?}$
- 4 | A. I don't know. I don't know. It was 30 minutes to
- $5 \parallel$ an hour.
- 6 \parallel Q. And what time did y'all end on that last day?
- 7 \parallel A. The last day, I want to say it was about 4:30, 4:40.
- 8 | Q. Did he give you a test at the completion of that
- 9 second day?
- 10 A. Yes, he did.
- 11 | Q. Were you free to leave after the test, or did you
- 12 have to stay?
- 13 A. We left after the test.
- 14 | Q. Okay. Do you remember what time the test started?
- 15 | A. We tested like throughout the -- pretty much the
- 16 whole day.
- 17 | Q. Okay. Whenever you were done, you could just go?
- 18 | A. Yeah.
- 19 | Q. Okay. At some point were you told that you would
- 20 have to be retested -- I mean, retrained?
- 21 | A. Yeah. Later, I quess it was all of a sudden, but we
- 22 pretty much did the same thing we did the first time with
- 23 | Mr. Ron.
- 24 | Q. Who had -- do you remember who told you, you had to
- 25 | be retrained?

- A. Oh, I think Mr. Matt or something had called us and said we were going to need retraining.
 - Q. Okay. Do you remember who provided that training, the second training team?
- 5 | A. Yeah. Ms. Tina Martin.

- 6 Q. And where was that training held?
- 7 A. The same place, at the Work Force in Houma.
- 8 | Q. Same place. Was it -- on that first day that
- 9 Ms. Tina Martin taught you, what time did you get there?
- 10 A. We started about the same time. Again, I was very early.
- 12 Q. And y'all broke for lunch?
- 13 A. Yes. We did break for lunch.
- 14 \parallel Q. And what time did you finish up in the afternoon?
- 15 A. I don't know. The first day, it was about 3:30, something like that.
- 17 | Q. Okay. Now, what you were taught during that first
- day, was it similar to what Mr. Macomber had taught you?
- 19 A. Yes. Everything that we did the first day, second
- 20 \parallel day was the same as Mr. Ron did.
- 21 | Q. At that second day went about the same time?
- 22 A. About the same time.
- 23 | Q. And were you again free to leave after you took your
- 24 | test?
- 25 | A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. And do you remember about what time that was on the second day for Ms. Tina Martin's training?
 - A. Yeah. It was about the same time, almost four o'clock.
 - Q. And the content of the training, you said, was?
- 6 A. Pretty much basically the same thing.
 - MS. MCLEARY: I thank you. That's all I need to hear from you today. And Mr. Macomber may ask you some questions.
- 10 MR. GURVICH: Mr. Macomber, your opportunity to cross-examine this witness.
- 12 MR. MACOMBER: Yes.
- 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF MR. PAUL BY MR. MACOMBER:
- 14 Q. Mr. Keith, thank you for coming today. You stated 15 in your training — who was present, besides myself,
- 16 during the training class?
- 17 A. Ms. Brandy Favors.
- 18 Q. Okay. Was there any other management from Weiser;
- 19 | just Ms. Brandy, correct?
- 20 \parallel A. Yeah. Brandy and yourself.
- 21 | Q. Did you have security experience before I gave you
- 22 | that test?

4

5

7

8

- 23 | A. Yes, sir.
- 24 | Q. And how long experience did you have?
- 25 | A. I want to say about a year and a half, two years.

- 1 Q. And I remember that was Amelia Bell where you were trained --
- 3 | A. Amelia Bell Casino, yes, sir.
- 4 | Q. -- and also licensed by the state board gaming
- 5 | division?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 \parallel Q. So when you took my test, was it very hard for you?
- 8 | A. No. It wasn't hard.
- 9 Q. Because of the experience you had had?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | MR. MACOMBER: Okay. Board, I would like to
- 12 | also -- thank you, Mr. Keith. I'm done with you. The
- 13 paper that Weiser had turned in as evidence stating that
- 14 | Mr. Paul only received one day of training, you can see
- 15 | his testimony, he had taken two days of training. I want
- 16 that to be on the record, please.
- 17 MR. GURVICH: Okay. And this is from the
- 18 previous hearing?
- 19 MR. MACOMBER: Yes.
- 20 MR. GURVICH: Okay. There is no objection to
- 21 | that?
- 22 MS. MCLEARY: No, sir.
- 23 MR. GURVICH: Okay. Then I'll allow it.
- 24 MS. MCLEARY: Ms. Ashley Ruffin. I call
- 25 | Ms. Ashley Ruffin.

- 1 DIRECT EXAMINATION OF MS. ASHLEY RUFFIN BY MS. MCLEARY:
- 2 | Q. Thank you for coming today. If you could, state
- 3 your name for the board.
- 4 | A. Ashley Ruffin.
- 5 | Q. And speak up a lot, because this lady down here is
- 6 going to have to write it down.
- 7 A. Ashley Ruffin.
- 8 | Q. Okay. If you would, Ashley, do you work for a
- 9 security company?
- 10 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 11 | Q. What company would that be?
- 12 | A. Weiser Security.
- 13 | Q. And are you a licensed guard for them?
- 14 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 15 Q. How long have you worked there?
- 16 A. Since February of 2012.
- 17 | COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear her answer.
- 18 MS. RUFFIN: February of 2012.
- 19 MS. MCLEARY: Jane, I don't think the mics are
- 20 | on.
- 21 MR. ROGILLIO: They're on.
- 22 MS. MCLEARY: It is? Could you turn it up a
- 23 | little bit? No?
- MS. RYLAND: No. It's as high as it will go.
- 25 MS. MCLEARY: Okay.

- 1 || BY MS. MCLEARY:
- 2 | Q. Ms. Ashley, were you trained when you were first
- 3 | hired on with Weiser?
- 4 | A. Yes, ma'am.
- 5 | Q. Do you remember who provided that training to you?
- 6 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 7 \parallel Q. Who was that?
- 8 | A. Mr. Ron.
- 9 | Q. Mr. Ron?
- 10 | A. Uh-huh.
- 11 | Q. And where did y'all have it?
- 12 A. In Houma at the Work Force.
- 13 \parallel Q. Were you in the same class that Mr. Keith was in?
- 14 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 15 Q. Okay. And what time do you remember training
- 16 | starting that day?
- 17 | A. Whatever time Mr. Keith made it there. The
- 18 | supervisor Brandy, I didn't have a ride at the time, so
- 19 | she made sure I was there early that day. And then, the
- 20 second day, Keith, as of my supervisor concerned too, he
- 21 gave me a ride the second day. So I had to be there for
- 22 | two days.
- 23 | Q. Okay. So you were present at the same time
- 24 Mr. Keith was?
- 25 | A. Right. So when we took a break, I took a break.

- 1 | Q. So, in addition to lunch, did y'all take other
- 2 | breaks?
- 3 \parallel A. No. We just took lunch.
- 4 | Q. Just took lunch?
- $5 \parallel A$. Uh-huh.
- 6 Q. Did y'all leave for lunch?
- 7 A. Yes. We went and ate at McDonald's.
- 8 | Q. Okay. And, the second day, did y'all have -- was a
- 9 test provided to you the second day?
- 10 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 11 | Q. And were y'all -- did you have to wait on Mr. Keith,
- 12 | or did he have to wait on you after you finished your
- 13 | test?
- 14 | A. I had to wait on him, because he was driving, so.
- 15 Q. And you finished before he did?
- 16 \parallel A. Oh, no. That was my first time ever taking it, so.
- 17 | I waited on -- he waited on me.
- 18 Q. He waited on you?
- 19 \parallel A. Yeah, because I took my time.
- 20 | Q. Okay. Do you remember about what time you got home
- 21 that second day?
- 22 \parallel A. It was around like 4:00, 4:30, 3:00, around that
- 23 | time.
- MS. MCLEARY: No further questions.
- 25 MR. GURVICH: Mr. Macomber.

1	MR. MACOMBER: Yes. I just have two questions.
2	CROSS-EXAMINATION OF MS. ASHLEY RUFFIN BY MR. MACOMBER:
3	Q. Thank you, Ashley, for being here today. Like you
4	stated, you had no prior security experience. But did
5	you feel like, when I taught you the class
6	MS. MCLEARY: Mr. Macomber, I will object, as
7	she did not testify that she had no prior security
8	experience.
9	MR. MACOMBER: I'm sorry. I thought she said it
10	was the first time she ever took the test.
11	MS. MCLEARY: Took the test, but that she just
12	that's not the same as saying she didn't have security
13	experience.
14	MR. MACOMBER: I'll rephrase it.
15	BY MR. MACOMBER:
16	Q. Did you feel like you got proper, adequate training
17	to go take the test and pass it by me?
18	A. Yes, sir.
19	MR. MACOMBER: That's all I have.
20	MS. MCLEARY: I have no further questions for
21	her. And I don't know if you would like for me to talk
22	to the other two witnesses to be able to I don't know
23	if their testimony will be substantially similar. I
24	suspect it may be. I only called them at the board's
25	pleasure.

MR. GURVICH: And I'm, you know, the big 2 expediter in all this just to try and save time. 3 MS. MCLEARY: Right. MR. GURVICH. But, in the end, his wishes as to 4 whether or not he wants to cross-examine these folks will 5 be controlling, because that's absolutely. 6 MS. MCLEARY: Mr. Macomber, I have not spoken to 7 them. 8 9 MR. MACOMBER: That's fine. I just have one more question for Ms. Ruffin. I'm sorry. 10 MS. MCLEARY: Oh, I'm sorry. I thought you were 11 done. 12 BY MR. MACOMBER: 13 You trained with me for two days? 14 15 Α. Yes, sir. MR. MACOMBER: Let the record show that the 16 17 testimony that Weiser turned in also of Mr. Paul's, it reads that she was retrained because she only took one 18 19 day of training, but she testified today she took two days. So that's the second witness in a row for false 2.0 statement on this document provided to you. 2.1 EXAMINATION OF MS. RUFFIN BY MR. GURVICH: 2.2 Ma'am, you said you had how many days of training? 2.3 Ο.

And how many hours during those two days?

24

25

Α.

Q.

Two.

- A. They were both for eight days.
- Q. Eight full hours?
 - A. Uh-huh.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

21

2.2.

MR. GURVICH: Okay. All right. Well, I guess that's --

MR. BAER: Mr. Chairman.

MR. GURVICH: Yes. Mr. Baer.

EXAMINATION OF MS. RUFFIN BY MR. BAER:

- O. Did they indicate to you why you had to retrain?
- 10 A. They told me I was not properly trained. But I took
 11 the test again. I'm not the smartest person, but I
 12 passed it the second time. And so I'm like what did he
 13 do differently that Ms. Tina didn't do? I passed it the
- 14 | second time, so I knew -- when I took the test, when I
- 15 saw it again, I kind of went fast, because I saw it
- 16 | already. So I knew some of the stuff that was on there.
- 17 | And I just took my time.
- 18 MR. GURVICH: Further questions?
- MR. MACOMBER: I'm fine. Thank you. Thank you,

 20 Ashley.
 - MR. GURVICH: Redirect? Recross? Thank you, ma'am.
- 23 MR. MACOMBER: You're welcome, sir.
- MS. MCLEARY: These are just witnesses the board wanted to hear. I will put on the other two if it's the

1	board's pleasure or if Mr. Macomber would like to call
2	them, but.
3	MR. GURVICH: Okay. So what is it that you want
4	these two witnesses to say?
5	MS. MCLEARY: Nothing. I only subpoenaed them
6	because the board asked me to subpoena them the last
7	time.
8	MR. GURVICH: If they were to testify, that they
9	would say what?
10	MS. MCLEARY: I don't know. You guys wanted to
11	hear it was Mr. Macomber's assertion that they did in
12	fact receive two days of training. The documents
13	provided by Weiser said that they did not.
14	MR. GURVICH: He wants to cross-examine the
15	witness?
16	MS. MCLEARY: The board wanted to hear from
17	MR. GURVICH: Unless he knowingly
18	MS. MCLEARY: the guards who were retrained.
19	MR. GURVICH: Do you want to cross-examine?
20	MR. MACOMBER: No, sir. I mean, these are good,
21	hardworking people. I mean, there is no reason for them
22	to come in here and lie or anything, but I believe, like
23	the two witnesses testified, I did train them properly.
24	MS. MCLEARY: They are not in support of my
25	case. I subpoenaed them because the board wanted to hear

1	from
2	MR. GURVICH: You wanted to proffer the
3	witnesses and say that, if called, they would?
4	MS. MCLEARY: I don't need to proffer them for
5	my case. Again, I subpoenaed them.
6	MR. GURVICH: You don't need
7	MS. MCLEARY: No, sir. They are at the board's
8	pleasure if they have any questions.
9	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Well, then that settles
10	that. Great. Thank you, all of you, for being here
11	today. It's most important that folks follow up on these
12	allegations and things like that.
13	So you are going to close?
14	MS. MCLEARY: I can.
15	MR. GURVICH: Unless your case in chief is
16	finished?
17	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir.
18	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Mr. Macomber, do you have
19	any witnesses of your own that you wanted to call?
20	MR. MACOMBER: No. just the ones I used on the
21	7th. I may have a couple letters, but I think that's
22	just more of an overkill.
23	MR. GURVICH: Are we ready for closing remarks?
24	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir.
25	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Because I'm going to give

everybody a few — I guess we have to go back and look at the record from the previous hearing.

MS. MCLEARY: Right. There was significant testimony. My case in chief is —

2.1

2.2.

2.3

MR. GURVICH: Okay. Go ahead and state your closing, then.

MS. MCLEARY: We are here today because board staff action denied Mr. Macomber's application for his instructor — instructor application with the new company he — after Weiser had terminated his employment. Weiser conducted a length, lengthy internal audit, the documentation of which and the audit document itself was provided to the board when they provided Mr. Macomber's termination documents.

And the board reviewed that documentation. The board subsequently got an application from Mr. Macomber to be an instructor at a new company. Mr. Rogillio testified that he relied significantly on the findings in Weiser's audit and the findings of their HR manager of the regrading of the — that he relied significantly on Weiser's assertions in the audit document.

Following up on that, we provided — or we had testimony provided to the board by Ms. Charmaine — I'm sorry, Charlene Sutherlin last time about the scope of the — and Mr. Patin — the scope of the audit. They had

found that Mr. Macomber's tests that he had graded during his instruction of their guards were overly — I don't know if it's lenient or if he just put 100 or they were incorrect. His grading of many of their instructor tests were incorrect.

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

Ms. Martin testified today that she did regrade several of those tests and did find that they were in fact incorrectly graded.

There was testimony last time, I believe subsequent to board questioning, that only one of those 44 individuals who were found to have incorrect scores would have failed. The 43 of the 44, in fact, would have otherwise passed the test. Their scores provided to the board by Weiser and by Mr. Macomber were just simply incorrect. Several of them were just 100 percent, 100 percent on the test. After regrading, they were found to be 94, 96, 98, and other various test scores.

One of those individuals was found to have failed the test with, I believe, a 33 score. And it was reflected, Mr. Macomber's grading reflected 100 percent on that test. That person that was testified to last time was retrained, retested, and is now a properly licensed guard. But of those 44 individuals that they had audited and found incorrect scores from Mr. Macomber, one in fact had failed, and but he reflected a

100 percent and did pass him on to work as a guard.

2.1

2.2

2.3

There was also testimony the last time and this time about the retraining needed. Mr. — the documentation provided by Weiser did reflect that they had not received adequate training, and their audit reflected that.

Mr. Rogillio relied on that. And Ms. Martin did testify today that she had to in fact retrain those individuals.

Case in point, the board action, Mr. Rogillio relied on the Weiser audit. It was found that Mr. Macomber did not properly grade and score scores of guard applications and guard tests. And, those, he knew those grades would be submitted to the board and would result in licensure of those guards. And one in fact shouldn't have even been licensed as a guard, because he in fact failed the test.

Mr. Rogillio testified that he felt this was significant enough to deny his subsequent licensure as an instructor for another company.

MR. GURVICH: And it's your assertion as the board's counsel that, in every case, the tests were graded more lenient than they should have been?

MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir. I believe the testimony last time and the documentation provided into the record was that the test scores Mr. Macomber provided were higher than those that were actually earned by

1	the guards.
2	MR. GURVICH: In every case?
3	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir.
4	MR. GURVICH: So there is no element of
5	randomness here?
6	MS. MCLEARY: No, sir.
7	MR. GURVICH: It didn't veer on the other side?
8	MS. MCLEARY: It appeared to be and the
9	testimony was that, more often than not, a 100 percent
10	score was given to the person, to the guard, and a
11	100 percent score wasn't achieved by the guard.
12	MR. GURVICH: That's it?
13	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir.
14	MR. GURVICH: Mr. Macomber, final words
15	regarding this matter?
16	MR. MACOMBER: Yes, sir. Off the top of my
17	head, since she brought that up, Mr. Wayne relied on, if
18	I remember, the last hearing, the investigation that
19	Weiser completed, where he did testify he did say it
20	was a good investigation, but there was a lot of hearsay
21	and things like that. So he relied upon that
22	investigation by Weiser, not by official documents for
23	you guys.
24	Second of all, the individual that they mentioned
25	failed is no longer a security officer. He quit Weiser

1	back in the day because he was being forced to come and
2	take that class again. So he is not a licensed security
3	officer anywhere in the state. So that's not true.
4	Also
5	MS. MCLEARY: Excuse me. I don't believe I said
6	he was currently a after the point it went, I mean
7	MR. MACOMBER: I'm sorry. I thought you said he
8	was a state licensed security guard now.
9	MS. MCLEARY: No. I didn't say now. I said,
10	after he submitted the paperwork, he was in fact licensed
11	and worked. And then you said just now he quit after,
12	shortly thereafter.
13	MR. MACOMBER: Yes.
14	MS. MCLEARY: So please don't say that I'm not
15	being honest or lying or
16	MR. MACOMBER: I'm not saying that.
17	MS. MCLEARY: You did actually just say that.
18	So, no, I didn't.
19	MR. GURVICH: We are not worried about
20	personalities here.
21	MS. MCLEARY: No. But I'm not going to say
22	that I'm not
23	MR. GURVICH: Let's give this man an opportunity
24	to stay his final words on that matter.
25	MR. MACOMBER: Okay. The witnesses that were

provided today was not by my doing. I don't have any 2 contact with any of them. They are nice people, but I don't have any contact with any of them, so there is no 3 way I can even talk to them and tell them what to say or 4 5 what not to say as testimony. They came here on their own with Weiser. So, as you can see, they testified in 6 front of their employer that I did no wrongdoing. So I 7 think that should be the board decision that these 8 9 witnesses, plus the one I had last time, and I have still got two statements from people saying I did properly 10 11 train them. Those were from the previous 12 MR. GURVICH: hearing? 13

MR. MACOMBER: Yes, sir.

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2.

2.3

24

2.5

MR. GURVICH: So you had two; you did call witnesses at the previous hearing?

MR. MACOMBER: I had one, and I have two written statements, so it will be three.

MR. GURVICH: Okay.

MR. MACOMBER: And, like I said, the documents that were turned in here, you know, Mr. Wayne even said he was just going by what was given to him. He had nothing personal against me. We didn't know each other. But he was just going by what everything that was on here.

And, like, I testified here before today that two of the witnesses took two days of training. Here, Weiser gave you guys a document stating they only had one day of training, so that was a false statement. So it's basically what I believed it was.

2.1

2.2.

2.3

I think someone on the board had mentioned that they were upset I left them, going over to another company, were afraid I was going to take new work. So that's all I have to say.

He did grant me my license temporary until this hearing. I have had no wrongdoings. I train everybody. I'm an old school guy. Okay? I was a cop for a long time. I like to sit in the classroom, and I like to tell stories, tell people so they don't fall asleep at these videos and all this training. So I make it fun for them, interesting, so maybe that's why most of them got a better grade than other instructors that just throw them in the classroom to watch the video and come back and check on them every hour. And, don't worry, I won't mention names on who does that, but I have been witness to that.

MR. GURVICH: Okay. So everybody has had a chance to speak their piece. Two things: first of all, this is an appeal of board action?

MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. It was an appeal.

1	MS. MCLEARY: Appeal of staff action.
2	MR. GURVICH: The board denied the application
3	for an instructor's license, and Mr. Macomber appealed
4	it.
5	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir.
6	MR. GURVICH: Secondly, we had testimony from
7	the previous hearing. And so I think at this time I
8	would like to I don't think we need to go into recess,
9	but I'd like to give the board members time to read the
10	testimony from the previous hearing, obviously, which I
11	believe starts on page
12	MR. BAER: Page 65.
13	MR. GURVICH: page 65. Mr. Macomber was
14	or page 64, the very bottom of page 64.
15	MR. ROMERO: Mr. Chairman.
16	MR. GURVICH: Mr. Romero.
17	MR. ROMERO: Based on the testimony given, would
18	you entertain a motion at this time?
19	MR. GURVICH: Well, I'm certainly open to
20	suggestions. If anybody wants to take time to read the
21	transcript from the previous hearing but, certainly,
22	the floor is open.
23	MR. ROMERO: Based on testimony, I would like to
24	make a motion to authorize the board staff to issue
25	Mr. Macomber an instructor license without restriction.

MR. GURVICH: We have a motion by Mr. Romero. 1 2 MS. VINSON: Second. 3 MR. GURVICH: Second by Ms. Vinson. So we have a motion on the floor. Debate? Discussion? 4 Ouestion for Ron. 5 MR. BAER: MR. MACOMBER: Yes, sir. 6 7 EXAMINATION OF MR. MACOMBER BY MR. BAER: 8 When they regraded the tests, test scores were 9 different, how did you come up with 100 and then some of those came up with a 30, 70, 72, 92, anything but 100; 10 11 how did you come up with --I'm sorry. I thought it was like 90s and stuff like 12 that. I'm sorry. 13 Q. Yes. How did you score, how did you put 100 when it 14 wasn't 100? 15 I put 100 on it because I believed that was the 16 17 right answer. Like I said, my method of training is, I'm 18 in with them like this the whole time. I don't leave a 19 room and come get me when the video is over. I instruct. 2.0 I tell stories. I give scenarios about what's going on. Right. But they have a test, and it's right or 2.1 2.2. wrong, yes or no. 2.3 Right. And if I gave them a test, they took 100 --24 they scored 100 on it, then they retested several months

later. From my understanding, some of them were kind of

- 1 | upset they had to retake it. And so they might have
- 2 missed the answers because they were not paying attention
- 3 | or, whatever the reason was. I wasn't there. I can't
- 4 answer that completely.
- 5 MR. GURVICH: Ms. Vinson had a comment or a question.
- 7 | EXAMINATION OF MR. MACOMBER BY MS. VINSON:
- 8 | Q. The problem here isn't -- the question isn't about
- 9 your training. The question is about your grading.
- 10 | A. Correct.
- 11 | Q. So, because you tell stories and you sit in the
- 12 | classroom, does that mean that you don't compare their
- 13 answers to an answer key --
- 14 | A. No. I just --
- 15 | Q. -- and that you just give everyone a hundred?
- 16 | A. No, ma'am.
- 17 | Q. That's what we're trying to figure out.
- 18 A. No, no, ma'am. I just --
- 19 Q. So there is no question about what you are doing.
- 20 | The question is about the end result.
- 21 A. Right.
- 22 | Q. And if you are submitting and completing state board
- 23 | training verifications --
- 24 | A. Correct.
- 25 | Q. -- that say 100 and the answer key doesn't really

- reflect 100, are you not paying attention as you grade 2 the answer keys?
 - That could be possible, but I don't give them 100 Α. just because they took my class. I feel like I'm more informative, so they have the knowledge and they get the
- right answers. 6

4

5

12

- But they are not giving the right answers, because 7 you are not correctly grading the tests. 8
- 9 I'm just going by what they say. I don't know. Ι Α. haven't seen the incorrect paperwork, the improper 10 11 grading.
 - I think you have been provided the paperwork.
- 13 A. For the tests that say nothing?
- MR. GURVICH: Did you respond to the question 14 15 completely, or do you have more you want to say?
- I mean, it's a test. I gave them the test, and I 17 know they passed the test. I know they deserve the grades. So if I have missed one which should have been 18 19 an A instead of a C and they missed one and I said 100, then that's my mistake, but. 2.0
- BY MS. VINSON: 2.1
- 2.2. But when they are given -- when they get a 33 Q. 2.3 and --
- That was only one person. And, like I said, that 24 25 person --

- Q. That's the only one that we found or that they found.
 - A. They found, but he wasn't here to testify to all that, so I don't know if they documented or doctored the paperwork. I have no idea.
 - MR. GURVICH: Mr. Kennedy had a comment or a question.

EXAMINATION OF MR. MACOMBER BY MR. KENNEDY:

- Q. Let me ask you, what is your procedure when you grade; do you grade them personally, or do you have them mark the answers as to correct —
- A. I do it personally.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2.

2.3

24

25

- 13 \parallel Q. -- by reading them out?
 - A. We used to have them sit there, and I go over each one with them, because, I feel like, if they even mark A and it should have been B, they should know the right answer. Even though it's marked wrong, they should know the right answer before they go out in the field and do their security work.

I don't think it was proper training if I sit there and say, okay, you missed four or five and they don't know the right answer. They still get it marked on the test, and then I give them the correct answer.

Q. My question is, did you grade it personally, each one, or did you call the answers out to them and let them

- 1 | actually grade themselves?
- 2 \parallel A. On both, I did on two occasions that one, because I
- 3 | didn't know we could do that. I thought we were supposed
- 4 | to just go over it with them. And then, the other time,
- 5 | I did it personally once I was told, just do it
- 6 personally.
- 7 | Q. The bottom line is, there is zero tolerance on the
- 8 | first eight hours of state board training. You have a
- 9 Test B and a Test A.
- 10 | A. Correct.
- 11 | Q. The answers are written in stone.
- 12 A. Right.
- 13 | Q. Your determination has nothing to do whether they
- 14 | answer it right or wrong. They answer it according to
- 15 | that answer key.
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 | Q. If you grade them personally, then it's difficult
- 18 | for me to realize how you scored a 100 on a person who
- 19 actually answered 33 percent of them.
- 20 A. Oh, correct.
- 21 \parallel Q. I can understand maybe missing one while you are
- 22 going through 100, maybe a 98 or a 96.
- 23 A. Correct, correct.
- 24 \parallel Q. Because, remember, they were two points apiece.
- 25 | There's 50 questions.

1	A. Correct.
2	Q. All right. But I cannot see how, if you grade it
3	yourself, they only scored a 30 and you gave them a 100.
4	A. That wouldn't have been right, because, my
5	understanding, that individual went on to be a site
6	supervisor. So I don't know why I would give him a 100
7	like that with a 30 percent, go. That's not proper. I
8	would not do that.
9	MR. GURVICH: Further comments/questions? We
10	have a motion on the floor. I will call for the vote.
11	MR. BAER: I second the motion.
12	MR. GURVICH: Well, I had Ms. Vinson seconding
13	it
14	MR. BAER: Oh, okay.
15	MR. GURVICH: But if you would like to join in
16	the second, then I will record that there are two
17	seconds, that of yourself and Ms. Vinson.
18	MS. RYLAND: Do you want a roll call?
19	MR. GURVICH: Yes.
20	(Ms. Ryland polls board members.)
21	MR. BAER: Yes.
22	MR. COTTON: No.
23	MR. GURVICH: I will abstain at this time.
24	MR. KENNEDY: No.
25	MR. ROJAS: No.

1	MR. ROMERO: Yes.
2	MS. VINSON: No.
3	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Mr. Romero's motion fails,
4	four nays, two yeas, and one abstention. The floor is
5	open. The floor is open. The floor is open. The floor
6	is open. I don't know what else to say.
7	MS. VINSON: I'll make a motion.
8	MR. BAER: His license is being denied?
9	MR. GURVICH: No. The vote was to grant him the
10	license, in effect, overturning the board staff's
11	action. That motion was defeated. So the floor is open.
12	Right now he has a denied license at the board staff
13	level, but he is appealing to us to, in effect, overturn
14	that determination by board staff.
15	MR. ROMERO: Mr. Chairman.
16	MR. GURVICH: And I am looking desperately for
17	someone to make a motion. Mr. Romero.
18	MR. ROMERO: Is it my understanding that he has
19	a temporary?
20	MR. ROGILLIO: Y'all gave him a conditional
21	license
22	MR. ROMERO: He has a conditional license.
23	MR. ROGILLIO: based on bringing these
24	witnesses back up
25	MR. GURVICH: Right.

1	MR. ROGILLIO: for continuance.
2	MR. KENNEDY: Is that not what we just voted
3	on?
4	MR. GURVICH: No. The permanent license.
5	MR. ROMERO: The motion was to issue the license
6	unrestricted. He has a conditional license now.
7	MR. GURVICH: So the floor is open.
8	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Mr. Chairman, I don't
9	think everybody understood the question from the board.
10	I think you need to be more specific about what they are
11	voting on.
12	MR. GURVICH: Well, why don't you restate
13	Mr. Romero's motion, Annette.
14	MR. ROMERO: I can paraphrase it.
15	MR. GURVICH: Did you want to comment on your
16	motion?
17	MR. ROMERO: Yes. To summarize the motion, it
18	was to authorize the board staff to issue an unrestricted
19	instructor license. He already has
20	MR. KENNEDY: A restricted.
21	MR. ROMERO: Yes. And based on the testimony
22	that was given, I made my motion.
23	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And yes would mean that
24	he would get the license, and no would mean that he would
25	not get the license?

1 MR. ROMERO: Correct.

2.1

2.2.

2.3

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay.

MR. GURVICH: Now, by the way, at any time any member can ask me to restate the motion if you don't understand it, at which time I will probably advert to Annette to actually literally say what the motion said, because I wouldn't have remembered it verbatim. But we can always have the motion restated if you like. Does anybody feel the need of that, what we voted on?

My understanding, and I think Mr. Romero has confirmed the understanding that he has a conditional license. But what we're today is to discuss and rule on the permanent license. And right now the motion to grant the license, permanent license, has been defeated. So there is no motion on the floor, and, therefore, anybody can make a motion.

MR. KENNEDY: So we need to address basically the temporary license that he has.

MR. GURVICH: Well, you could address the temporary license that he has, or you could address the board's — the board staff's decision on the permanent license, which the board staff denied that. We granted on an interim basis a conditional license because we knew that several months would go by before we could reconvene, and so we granted a conditional license at

that time. 2 So, I mean, there's one or two ways you could handle it, but it seems to me that, in effect, we have got to 3 determine the licensure of this -- the status of this 4 5 man's permanent license, which is either to grant it or not. Anything else, I mean, I quess we could certainly, 6 7 if someone wanted to extend the time on his interim license, I quess one could. The floor is open. 8 9 MR. ROMERO: Mr. Chairman. MS. VINSON: Mr. --10 MR. GURVICH: Okay. Mr. Romero was a little bit 11 ahead of you. 12 MS. VINSON: That's all right. 13 MR. GURVICH: So I'll grant him the floor. 14 15 MR. ROMERO: I would just ask the question, what 16 would be the purpose of continuing a conditional license based on the testimony and the circumstances that we are 17 18 dealing with? It seems to me at this point, either the 19 license has to be approved or denied. I don't see where 20 we have any room other than that. MR. GURVICH: I would tend to support that 2.1 I mean, someone may differ, but I don't disagree. 2.2 2.3 Ms. Vinson had a comment or a question or a motion. I believe I had a motion. 24 MS. VINSON:

MR. GURVICH: Okay. Thank God.

1	MS. VINSON: I would like to make a motion to
2	issue a license as an instructor and put Mr. Macomber on
3	probation for one year, with an audit of his training
4	files to happen within that year.
5	MR. GURVICH: I will second that. Any other
6	seconds?
7	MR. ROMERO: I'll second it.
8	MR. GURVICH: Mr. Romero. Jointly seconded by
9	Mr. Gurvich and Mr. Romero. Motion on the floor.
10	Debate? Discussion? Counsel, final words, brief.
11	MS. MCLEARY: No, sir.
12	MR. GURVICH: Mr. Macomber, anything further?
13	MR. MACOMBER: No, sir.
14	MR. GURVICH: Debate? Discussion? There being
15	none, I will call for a vote. Let's do a roll call.
16	Does anybody have a question as to what we are doing? We
17	are granting a probationary permanent license with an
18	audit to follow. Call for the vote.
19	(Ms. Ryland polls board members.)
20	MR. BAER: Yes.
21	MR. COTTON: No.
22	MR. GURVICH: Yea.
23	MR. KENNEDY: No.
24	MR. ROJAS: Yes.
25	MR. ROMERO: Yes.

MS. VINSON: Yes. 1 2 MR. GURVICH: All right. The motion passes, five yeas, two nays. Well, congratulations, sir, but 3 it's a probationary license. 4 5 MR. MACOMBER: Yes, sir. MR. GURVICH: And you can expect, I believe, 6 7 within the terms of the motion, there will be a follow-on audit within the year. 8 9 MS. MCLEARY: Mr. Gurvich, the question too is the nature of the license, I mean, whether -- is he 10 employed in-house? Where is he employed? I believe 11 12 there are some questions about that. That would certainly be 13 MR. GURVICH: Yes. appropriate for -- but probably to another venue. 14 terms of what we are doing, we have granted him whatever 15 license he wants as long as he is otherwise eligible for 16 a license of whatever kind he wants. 17 18 MS. MCLEARY: Okay. So I don't really know where we 19 MR. GURVICH: are, and, that, I don't know if that's really properly 2.0 before the board, but. 2.1 MR. MACOMBER: It's just an in-house. 2.2 2.3 MR. GURVICH: An in-house license. 24 MS. MCLEARY: You are in-house now?

MR. MACOMBER: Yes.

1	MS. MCLEARY: Okay.
2	MR. GURVICH: Okay. I mean
3	MS. MCLEARY: You're currently employed
4	in-house?
5	MR. MACOMBER: Yes.
6	MS. MCLEARY: Okay.
7	MR. GURVICH: In terms of what we're here today
8	to deal with, I think we've dealt with it, but
9	MS. MCLEARY: He is eligible to apply to you
10	guys for whatever he needs.
11	MR. GURVICH: Right, right, for whatever license
12	he is eligible otherwise for. Okay. Thank you, sir.
13	MR. MACOMBER: Sir, question on that.
14	MR. GURVICH: Yes.
15	MR. MACOMBER: The license I was issued, the
16	card and the was sent to Inner Parish, what do I do
17	with that one? I wait for the new one to come in or?
18	because it was only good
19	MS. RYLAND: They are exactly the same. You're
20	not going to get any.
21	MR. MACOMBER: Okay. I just wanted to make sure
22	it was nothing different, like
23	MR. GURVICH: That is not really something we
24	are going to deal with today. That's for you and the
25	board staff to work out.

MR. MACOMBER: That's fine. As long as I have it, that's fine.

2.1

2.2

2.3

MR. GURVICH: I want to thank everyone for being here, particularly our witnesses, for spending your time and coming before the board. And we appreciate your efforts to get this right.

NEW BUSINESS, DISCUSSION OF USDOJ AUDIT

MR. ROGILLIO: You all have this in your packet. I need to mention to you about this. We were recently audited by the U.S. Department of Justice as to fingerprints because we are, of course, supposed to take our prints to state police, and they use the automated system for submitting those prints.

You will notice, the only — in the audit report, the only thing that we were in noncompliance with is holding public hearings or meetings and bringing up an individual's rap sheet. We can no longer do that.

They — the lady who came here, said, if you are going to do that, you need to go into executive session and only have the individual who is affected to be here as long as the board and the staff is here. But no public can be here, and your can't enter it into the public record.

So I just want to make y'all aware of that. If we have a quard that we deny because of a felony conviction

1	or
2	MR. GURVICH: Or any criminal.
3	MR. ROGILLIO: for any criminal mater.
4	MR. GURVICH: Moral turpitude would be the same
5	problem.
6	MR. ROGILLIO: Right. You as a board would have
7	to go into executive session in order to discuss that
8	individual's background and his criminal history. And,
9	again, only he or she could be here along with the board
10	and the staff, of course. And it would not be part of
11	the court reporter's record. So I just want to make
12	y'all aware of that.
13	MR. GURVICH: Right. So we will need to go into
14	executive session on that particular content.
15	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. And we will have to
16	clear the room and only the individual who is involved b
17	here to.
18	MR. GURVICH: Counsel, that's your job to remine
19	me
20	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir.
21	MR. GURVICH: because I may start off and
22	forget.
23	MS. MCLEARY: I got it.
24	MR. ROGILLIO: I was under the impression that
25	we, as long as they appealed it, we were able to

1	introduce it. And we had been doing that for years.
2	MR. GURVICH: Well, I suppose you could
3	introduce it with a protective order of some nature.
4	MS. MCLEARY: It's just the nature of the open
5	meeting was the only real issue.
6	MR. ROGILLIO: It cannot be part of the public
7	record, which is what (indicating).
8	MR. GURVICH: Right. We have to come out of the
9	executive session and then vote, but that won't really b
10	a discussion of the man's criminal history.
11	MR. ROGILLIO: Well, no. You still vote, I
12	think, in the executive
13	MS. MCLEARY: No. You vote in public session.
14	MR. GURVICH: You come out you do your
15	executive session, you come out and vote, but that's just
16	a vote of whether you want to grant or deny the license.
L7	You don't really have to say you don't have to state
18	any reasons at all.
L9	MR. ROGILLIO: You are not bringing up the
20	record is what. That's the problem they had with this,
21	and.
22	DETERMINATION OF DATE OF NEXT MEETING
23	MR. GURVICH: All right. So have we basically
24	come to the point where we've just got to determine the

next date?

1	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir.
2	MR. ROGILLIO: June 20th okay?
3	MR. GURVICH: June 20th?
4	MR. ROGILLIO: I just throw that out.
5	MR. GURVICH: What's okay.
6	MR. KENNEDY: What's June 20th? A Thursday?
7	MR. ROGILLIO: That's a Thursday, yes.
8	MR. GURVICH: We have to meet by when? The end
9	of the quarter.
10	MR. ROGILLIO: Well, you satisfied this is
11	what? This is February. So, yeah. April, May, June.
12	Yeah.
13	MR. GURVICH: Do we have to meet once a calendar
14	quarter or once every three months?
15	MR. ROGILLIO: No. Once a calendar quarter.
16	MR. GURVICH: So this is our first quarter?
17	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir.
18	MR. GURVICH: So we have to meet by June 30th.
19	MR. ROGILLIO: Right. And June 20th would
20	satisfy that. And that's right before the new budget
21	would take effect, July 1st.
22	MR. GURVICH: You will have all your documents
23	ready on the financials and everything? Someone had
24	indicated displeasure with June 20th?
25	MS. VINSON: No.

MR. ROJAS: I'll make a motion: June 20th. 1 MR. GURVICH: All right. June 20th at 9:30, is 2 that acceptable? I'll make a motion. 3 4 MR. ROMERO: Second. MR. GURVICH: Second by Mr. Romero. All in 5 favor say aye. 6 7 ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? The motion passes. 8 9 The next meeting will be in the board office at 9:30 on June 20th, which happens to be, I am told, a Thursday. 10 11 Ouestions? Ma'am. MS. MCLEARY: Just one housekeeping on the 12 professional services contract for the lawsuit, just to 13 make sure that previous experience having represented 14 15 other state agencies, make sure to get that approval from 16 the attorney general's office in writing. I know this is their standard contract and rates and all. 17 18 MR. GURVICH: I will proceed to get that. 19 MR. ROGILLIO: Okay. MR. GURVICH: Okay. Questions from the Public. 2.0 We invite members of the public in the audience to 2.1 address the board in any wise you wish. No force or 2.2 violence upon the members, but. 2.3 NEW BUSINESS, DISCUSSION OF FESS CLASSROOM TRAINING 24 25 MR. ROGILLIO: I have one.

1 | MR. GURVICH: Yes.

2.1

2.2.

2.3

MR. ROGILLIO: The attorney — and this is for discussion. It's not for a vote or anything. But the attorney for the FESS Corporation or FESS Security outfit came and met with me and brought me 85 copies of classroom training that FESS claims was mailed here, and we never got it. And his question was — and I said, "I can't approve this. If the board wants to allow me to accept the copies, then so be it." But this is like 150 violations.

MR. GURVICH: That emanate from the hearing which is the subject of the lawsuit?

MS. MCLEARY: New Business.

MR. ROGILLIO: This is New Business.

MS. VINSON: They sent it regular postage?

MR. ROGILLIO: That's what they said. We never received it. And I am only bringing this up, because the attorney asked me if I would ask you guys to allow this to be submitted. We don't normally accept copies. But in light of all the issues we have had, you may want to consider.

MR. GURVICH: The fact that there is a lawsuit pending, to me, makes no — in no wise should FESS be handled any differently than you regularly handle everybody else. That's my two cents.

1	MR. KENNEDY: I agree.
2	MR. ROGILLIO: Because I was asked, I'm asking
3	you and I'm satisfying that and I will let him know that.
4	MR. GURVICH: So these are documents that were
5	filed late?
6	MR. KENNEDY: Never filed.
7	MR. ROGILLIO: We got these from the attorney.
8	We did we went and did a background on training and
9	did a training report. And none of these first and
10	second eight hours had been submitted to us. And we have
11	a printout of all the people that are affected and that
12	are in these training forms.
13	MR. GURVICH: And this relates back to the
14	MS. RYLAND: This has no relation to that. This
15	is something totally new.
16	MR. GURVICH: A whole brand new thing.
17	MR. ROGILLIO: A whole brand new thing. When we
18	went back and checked the records
19	MR. GURVICH: It's my sense that, and anybody is
20	free to disagree and we can certainly entertain a formal
21	motion on it, but I don't think the fact that they have a
22	lawsuit pending against the board changes anything.
23	Whatever you would normally do, do.
24	MR. ROGILLIO: Well, normally, it has to be an
25	original. And in producing these copies, if they had our

1	stamp on there, it would show that we in fact would have
2	gotten them. But we never received this.
3	MR. GURVICH: Is it the sense of the board that
4	we handle this exactly the same as we would any other?
5	ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Yes.
6	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes. That's fine. As I said, he
7	asked me to ask and I'm asking, so I'd be glad
8	MS. RYLAND: It's like 150, so that's the
9	QUESTIONS FROM THE PUBLIC
10	MR. GURVICH: Questions from the Public. There
11	being no further business, I will entertain a motion to
12	adjourn, "cine die."
13	MS. VINSON: Motion to adjourn.
14	MR. ROMERO: Second.
15	MR. GURVICH: Motion by Ms. Vinson, Second by
16	Mr. Romero. All in favor? Any opposed? This meeting is
17	adjourned. Thank you.
18	(End of proceedings.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3 4	I, Annette Ross, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Louisiana, as the Officer before whom these proceedings were taken, do hereby certify:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	That the proceedings as herein before set forth in the foregoing 115 pages was reported by me in stenographic machine shorthand, transcribed by me or under my personal direction and supervision, and is a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability and understanding; That I am not of counsel, nor related to any person participating in this cause, and am in no way interested in the outcome of this event; That the transcript has been prepared in compliance with the transcript format guidelines required by statute and by rules of the board; That I have acted in compliance with the prohibition on contractual relationships as defined by Louisiana Code of Civil Procedure Article 1434 and in rules and advisory
141516	opinions of the board. This certification is valid only for a transcript accompanied by my original signature and original blue stamp on this page.
17	a semit on ones to see
18	
19	
20	
21	ANNETTE ROSS,
22	CCR NO. 93001
23	23rd day of March, 201 3
24	
25	